# **Deciphering the impact of genomic variation on function**

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07510-0>

Received: 11 April 2023

Accepted: 2 May 2024

Published online: 4 September 2024

**Check for updates** 

**IGVF Consortium\***✉

Our genomes infuence nearly every aspect of human biology—from molecular and cellular functions to phenotypes in health and disease. Studying the diferences in DNA sequence between individuals (genomic variation) could reveal previously unknown mechanisms of human biology, uncover the basis of genetic predispositions to diseases, and guide the development of new diagnostic tools and therapeutic agents. Yet, understanding how genomic variation alters genome function to infuence phenotype has proved challenging. To unlock these insights, we need a systematic and comprehensive catalogue of genome function and the molecular and cellular efects of genomic variants. Towards this goal, the Impact of Genomic Variation on Function (IGVF) Consortium will combine approaches in single-cell mapping, genomic perturbations and predictive modelling to investigate the relationships among genomic variation, genome function and phenotypes. IGVF will create maps across hundreds of cell types and states describing how coding variants alter protein activity, how noncoding variants change the regulation of gene expression, and how such efects connect through gene-regulatory and protein-interaction networks. These experimental data, computational predictions and accompanying standards and pipelines will be integrated into an open resource that will catalyse community efforts to explore how our genomes influence biology and disease across populations.

Since the initial sequencing of the human genome, genetic studies have been immensely productive<sup>1-3</sup>. Exome and genome sequencing studies have identified hundreds of millions of genomic variants, including single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions  $($ indels) and larger structural variants<sup>[4,](#page-7-2)[5](#page-7-3)</sup> (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Comparisons within families, case–control cohorts and population-scale biobanks have revealed hundreds of thousands of associations between such variants and phenotypes in both health and disease $6-12$  $6-12$ .

Our next challenge is to understand how genomic variation affects molecular and cellular processes to influence organismal phenotype (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). At a molecular level, genomic variation can affect the temporal-spatial and quantitative expression of genes or the activity and localization of proteins. Altered gene expression or protein activity can, in turn, affect other genes and proteins, for example via gene-regulatory and protein–protein interaction networks. Changes in such molecular networks affect the properties of cells and tissues, and in doing so can influence organismal phenotypes. Here we use 'genome function' to refer to these processes encoded by the genome, and note that this does not necessarily imply 'function' in terms of evolutionary selection<sup>[13,](#page-8-1)14</sup>.

Previous and ongoing efforts have produced breakthroughs in mapping various aspects of genome function, including locating and annotating millions of noncoding regulatory elements in the human genome<sup>15,[16](#page-8-4)</sup>; mapping associations between genomic variants and effects on gene or protein expression across dozens of human tissues<sup>[17](#page-8-5)-19</sup>; profiling hundreds of cell types and states through single-cell measurements of gene expression<sup>20,[21](#page-8-8)</sup>; applying saturation mutagenesis to analyse coding variants in selected disease genes $^{22-24}$ ; and characterizing how genes and proteins interact genetically or physically in molecular networks<sup>25-27</sup>. These efforts, as well as disease-specific consortia and other studies, have also demonstrated how mapping the effects of genomic variation on genome function can reveal molecular mechanisms in human biology and disease, guide genetic diagnosis and clinical management, and facilitate the development of novel therapies (Fig. [1](#page-1-0) and Box [1](#page-2-0); reviewed in refs. [1](#page-7-0),[28,](#page-8-13)[29](#page-8-14)).

Yet, connecting genomic variants to functions and phenotypes continues to prove challenging and slow. The molecular mechanisms that underlie most genetic associations for common diseases remain to be established<sup>[2](#page-7-5),29</sup>, genetic diagnosis for rare diseases continues to be hindered by the preponderance of variants of uncertain significance<sup>7[,30](#page-8-15)</sup> (VUSs), and the effects of genomic variation across diverse groups and populations remains poorly studied<sup>[31,](#page-8-16)[32](#page-8-17)</sup>. New approaches are needed to accelerate research throughout the community and thereby unlock the vast unrealized potential for understanding human biology and improving human health $33,34$  $33,34$ .

Advances in experimental and computational genomics now promise to overcome some of the key challenges:

(1) Regulatory elements and genes can have cell-type or contextdependent activities, which have been challenging to analyse comprehensively. Emerging single-cell technologies now enable the generation of comprehensive maps of chromatin state and gene expression in nearly any cell type in the body<sup>[20](#page-8-7),[21](#page-8-8)</sup>, and computational

\*Lists of authors and their affiliations appear in the online version of the paper. ✉e-mail: [markerpaper@igvf.org](mailto:markerpaper@igvf.org)



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1 | Genomic variation influences genome function and phenotype.** Genomic variation includes SNVs, indels and structural variants, which can alter protein-coding sequences or noncoding sequences. Genome function encompasses the cell-type-specific activities and interactions among regulatory

elements, genes and proteins within molecular networks that underlie cellular phenotypes. Organismal phenotypes include quantitative and binary traits in health and disease.

analysis of these datasets can help to locate candidate regulatory elements, identify transcription factor binding regions and footprints, and delineate gene-regulatory networks $35-38$  $35-38$ .

- (2) Previously it has been difficult to uncover the causal relationships between genomic variation and genome function, including owing to challenges of linkage disequilibrium between common variants. New approaches in statistical fine-mapping now enable improved interpretation of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and quantitative trait loci (QTL) studies<sup>[39](#page-8-22)-[42](#page-8-23)</sup>, and high-throughput technologies for designed genomic perturbations, such as with CRISPR screens<sup>[43](#page-8-24)-50</sup> and massively parallel reporter assays<sup>51-57</sup> (MPRAs), provide a powerful means to systematically characterize the effects of variants, elements and genes.
- (3) The scale of the problem is immense. With billions of possible single-nucleotide genomic variants, 20,000 genes, and thousands of cell types, we cannot expect to experimentally map the effects of all possible variants on all aspects of genome function in all possible contexts. To address this, recent studies have highlighted the possibility of training computational models that can generalize to make predictions about genome function for untested variants, cell types and/or contexts<sup>[58](#page-8-28)-64</sup>.
- (4) Previous efforts have largely focused on particular types of genome variation or individual diseases. Integrative analysis of coding and noncoding variation in molecular networks and comparisons across

diverse cellular contexts and diseases could greatly accelerate progress $28,65-67$ .

(5) Finally, recent successes by  $CASP^{68}$  $CASP^{68}$  $CASP^{68}$  (critical assessment of protein structure prediction), ENCODE<sup>15</sup> (Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) and others $^{17,21,69}$  $^{17,21,69}$  $^{17,21,69}$  $^{17,21,69}$  have highlighted how uniting a diverse community of investigators under a common framework can catalyse advances throughout the global scientific community by developing uniform standards and analysis pipelines, creating uniformly processed, artificial intelligence-readable datasets that are amenable to predictive modelling, and enabling the comparison and synthesis of alternative strategies.

With these challenges and opportunities in mind, the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) launched the IGVF Consortium in 2021, with the goal of developing a systematic understanding of the effects of genomic variation on genome function and how these effects shape phenotypes. The Consortium consists of more than 120 laboratories that are collaborating on five key activities to address the above challenges: (1) Mapping Centres: to analyse regulatory element and gene activity at single-cell resolution across hundreds of cell types; (2) Functional Characterization Centres: to systematically characterize the molecular and cellular effects of introducing variants or perturbing elements and genes; (3) Predictive Modelling Projects: to develop and apply computational approaches to comprehensively

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Box 1**

# Mapping the effect of genomic variation on genome function can reveal biological mechanisms and advance precision health

Selected examples are discussed below (see also refs. [1](#page-7-0)[,28](#page-8-13)[,29](#page-8-14)).

Learning basic and disease biology:

- Expression QTL (eQTL) and gene-knockdown studies of a GWAS locus for coronary artery disease identified sortilin (*SORT1*) as a regulator of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels and elucidated its molecular function in LDL uptake<sup>158,159</sup>.
- Epigenomic maps and variant-to-function studies revealed a role for the transcription factor genes *IRX3* and *IRX5* in regulating adipocyte browning to influence obesity<sup>[160](#page-10-2)[,161](#page-10-3)</sup>.
- Characterization of risk variants for inflammatory bowel disease has identified multiple genes involved in autophagy, including *ATG16L1* and *LRRK2*, revealing new roles for these genes in myeloid and intestinal epithelial cells<sup>[162](#page-10-4),163</sup>.

Guiding genetic diagnosis:

- Saturation genome editing of *BRCA1* led to improved diagnosis of inherited risk for breast and ovarian cancer $^{23}$  $^{23}$  $^{23}$ .
- Functional variant annotations improve the applicability of polygenic risk scores across populations<sup>117</sup>.

Guiding therapeutic development:

- Designed mutagenesis of *SMN2* identified an intronic splice-enhancing sequence that guided development of antisense oligonucleotides to treat spinal muscular atrophy<sup>[164](#page-10-6),165</sup>.
- Dissection of a GWAS locus led to identification of *BCL11A* as a repressor of fetal haemoglobin and development of CRISPR editors for sickle-cell disease<sup>50,[166](#page-10-8),167</sup>.

model the impact of genomic variation on genome function and guide experimental design; (4) Regulatory Network Projects: to advance network-level understanding of the influence of genetic variation and genome function on cellular and organismal phenotypes; and (5) a Data and Administrative Coordinating Centre: to lead development of resources and infrastructure to share IGVF data, standards and pipelines with the scientific community. IGVF membership and activities are expanding further via affiliate membership, a process by which any researcher or research project can apply to join IGVF to drive its vision and execution. Through these activities, the IGVF Consortium aims to generate a catalogue that can be broadly deployed for exploring genome function and the effect of genetic variation on human biology and diseases in diverse populations. Below we describe the goals, strategies and anticipated deliverables of the IGVF (Box [2](#page-2-1)).

# **Map–perturb–predict framework**

To create a comprehensive catalogue of the effects of genomic variation, IGVF has developed a strategy that integrates three complementary components (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). One component will be to quantify the activity of regulatory elements and the expression of genes via single-cell mapping. Another will conduct systematic perturbations of variants, regulatory elements and genes. A third will seek to generalize results to new, unstudied genomic variants and cellular contexts via predictive modelling. Integration of these three components in

# <span id="page-2-1"></span>**Box 2**

# IGVF goals and approaches

- Characterize the effects of genomic variants, regulatory elements and genes on molecular and cellular phenotypes—by analysing naturally occurring or designed genomic perturbations across dozens of cellular models.
- Identify where and when regulatory elements and genes are active, with resolution for individual cell types and states—by applying single-cell mapping technologies across hundreds of biological samples including cellular models, tissues and environmental contexts.
- Predict the consequences of genomic variation on genome function and phenotype for previously unstudied variants and/ or cellular contexts—by developing predictive computational models that can generalize across contexts and establishing benchmarking pipelines to evaluate and calibrate their accuracy.
- Study diverse cellular and disease systems, types of genomic variation and aspects of genome function—by developing and applying a 'map–perturb–predict' framework in which single-cell mapping, genomic perturbations and predictive modelling are synergistically combined.
- Create an initial map that annotates the predicted effects of every possible SNV in the human genome on key aspects of genome function—by integrating models for how coding variants might alter protein function, how noncoding variants might affect gene expression and how noncoding and coding variants might connect within molecular networks.
- Advance our understanding of the effects of genomic variation on disease—by exploring how best to apply IGVF resources to inform genetic diagnosis and to identify biological mechanisms of disease risk.
- Ensure that these advances are applicable to and inclusive of people of diverse sexes, ancestries and populations—by studying individuals with different genetic backgrounds, assaying and predicting effects of variants observed in diverse populations, and studying diseases that disproportionately affect disadvantaged or under-represented populations.
- Catalyse research by others towards the long-term goal of understanding the effects of genomic variation—by partnering with the broader research community and developing resources and infrastructure to share IGVF data, methods, standards and pipelines.

a map–perturb–predict framework will create substantial synergy across the consortium.

# **Single-cell mapping**

Identifying noncoding regulatory elements and genes and mapping their activities across cell types and states is foundational for understanding where, when and how genomic variation might affect genome function. Yet, many previous efforts have lacked this level of resolution. We will collect single-cell data across hundreds of cell types and states (see below for biological systems and contexts). We will apply primarily single-nucleus assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with sequencing (snATAC-seq) and single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq), including in multiomic formats, to enable integration of IGVF data with other emerging datasets (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). Individual projects will explore additional single-cell approaches including transcription factor binding, histone modifications, chromatin interactions, protein levels and activity, and clonal tracing. Key assays (including 10x Multiome,





<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2 | A map–perturb–predict framework to connect genome variation to genome function and phenotype.** Top, IGVF projects will apply single-cell mapping, genomic perturbations and predictive modelling, which will interact in a synergistic and iterative manner (arrows). Examples of experimental approaches are shown, including  $10x$  Multiome, SHARE-seq<sup>70</sup> and Parse Evercode<sup>71</sup> MPRAs<sup>[52](#page-8-44),[56](#page-8-45),57</sup>, self-transcribing assay of RNA reporters<sup>[51](#page-8-26)</sup> (STARR-seq), CRISPR interference and activation $149$  (CRISPRi/a), variant abundance by massively parallel sequencing<sup>[22](#page-8-9)</sup> (VAMP-seq), RNA FlowFISH<sup>[85](#page-9-4)</sup> and Cell Painting<sup>84</sup>. Bottom, IGVF projects will address a wide variety of biological questions and utilize diverse biological systems, models and samples using the model systems and samples shown in Table [1](#page-4-0). ChIP–seq, chromatin immunoprecipitation with sequencing; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA sequencing.

simultaneous high-throughput assay for transposase-accessible chromatin and RNA expression with sequencing<sup>70</sup> (SHARE-seq) and split-pool combinatorial indexing single-cell RNA sequencing<sup>71</sup> (Parse Evercode)) will be directly compared and calibrated on the same samples, and the performance of computational analyses and predictive models will be assessed as a function of sequencing depth. These data will provide a foundation for interpreting the effects of functional characterization experiments and building cell-type-specific maps of variant effects.

# **Genomic perturbations**

Perturbation experiments will be crucial for understanding the causal relationships among variants, regulatory elements, genes and phenotypes, but until recently have been challenging to apply at sufficient scale. New enabling technologies include high-throughput screens using CRISPR genetic or epigenetic perturbations or over-expression strategies<sup>[22](#page-8-9),[23](#page-8-34),[43](#page-8-24)-50</sup>, reporter assays for enhancer or promoter activities $51-57$  $51-57$ , and fine-mapping of different types of QTLs<sup>17,[42,](#page-8-23)[72](#page-8-37)</sup> including single-cell eQTLs<sup>[73](#page-8-38)-75</sup>. IGVF plans to conduct more than two million experimental perturbations, including to directly study the effects of naturally occurring or designed DNA variants, and to perturb regulatory elements and genes to build maps of genome function (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). We will characterize the effects of these perturbations using diverse assays, including measurements of chromatin accessibility<sup>[76](#page-8-40)</sup>, gene expression<sup>[77](#page-8-41)-79</sup>, protein expression and activity<sup>[25](#page-8-11)[,80](#page-9-1)-83</sup>, and molecular and cellular phenotypes<sup>84</sup>. These data will enable direct characterization of variants of interest, such as those associated with disease, and provide data to train or evaluate predictive models of variant effects.

# **Predictive modelling**

Genome function is complex, and we cannot expect to experimentally map the effects of all possible variants on all possible activities in all possible cellular contexts. Predictive models will be needed to make predictions that generalize across contexts—for example, to link genetic variants to effects on transcription factor binding and chromatin accessibility<sup>[57,](#page-8-27)61-64</sup>, connect regulatory elements to their target gene[s64](#page-8-29)[,85,](#page-9-4)[86,](#page-9-5) or identify causal genes and cell types enriched for heritability for complex diseases or traits $87-92$ . We will leverage advances in machine learning and artificial intelligence to tackle key prediction problems, such as mapping aspects of genome function, interpreting the impact of genomic variation and guiding the design of future experimental assays such that the data produced will be maximally informative for subsequent predictive modelling. To systematically evaluate and calibrate such models, we will build benchmarking pipelines that compare predictions to perturbation data, including from IGVF functional characterization experiments as well as external sources such as QTLs, GWAS and genome sequencing studies[87](#page-9-6)[,88](#page-9-8)[,93,](#page-9-9)[94.](#page-9-10) In areas where data collection is already advanced, we will engage the external community by designing prediction challenges with held-out assessment datasets produced by IGVF.

## **Application areas**

Together, these three activities will form an iterative map–perturb– predict framework that IGVF will apply to explore a wide array of cell types, cellular phenotypes and diseases (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Projects will apply distinct but overlapping sets of experimental assays and computational models, enabling a broad exploration of possible strategies and integration of insights across biological systems.

IGVF projects have flexibility to study diverse biological models, prioritized on the basis of relevance to human disease, expertise of consortium members, tractability and other considerations. Current models include human embryonic and iPS cells differentiated into lineages spanning all germ layers in 2D and 3D (for example, gastruloids, cardiomyocytes and neurons); primary cell types relevant to disease areas of interest (for example, smooth muscle cells for coronary artery disease); and human and mouse tissues in vivo to inform how cell–cell interactions and environment alter genome function (for example, liver and lung in the presence of bacterial lipopolysaccharide) (Table [1](#page-4-0)). Selected models include dynamic biological processes that will provide insights into how regulatory networks change over time, such as B cell activation and differentiation or fibroblast-to-iPS cell reprogramming.

Although the primary objective of IGVF is to characterize variation and function of the human genome, IGVF studies will also study and create resources for mouse models, such as for comparing the effects of variants, elements and genes across different genetic backgrounds, and for in vivo genomic perturbation experiments to understand how variants or genes affect cellular phenotypes in a tissue environment. IGVF will leverage the genetic diversity found in the Collaborative Cross<sup>95</sup>, which includes more than 15 million SNVs between the 8 founder strains. These strains include the reference C57BL/6J strain, mouse disease models such as NOD, and recombinant inbred Collaborative Cross strains. Current efforts include collecting single-cell mapping data across eight tissues in adult male and female mice to identify cell-type-specific cellular programmes and QTLs and compare to matching human samples.

The map–perturb–predict framework will enable integration across biological systems and models. For example, to enable integrative analysis across all projects studying gene regulation, we will generate and harmonize multiomic snRNA-seq and snATAC-seq data as a reference

map in each cellular model. To compare genomic perturbation datasets across projects, we will deploy consistent data processing pipelines, quantify reproducibility and assess power. To integrate information across experimental assays and cellular models, we will train predictive models that learn from diverse data types and can generalize to new, unstudied cell types.

Throughout, a unifying analysis framework will be to consider and evaluate which cellular models and assays provide the best ability to distinguish or enrich for genomic variants associated with disease. For example, studies of coding variation in known Mendelian disease genes will validate the relevance of their cellular assays by comparison to known pathogenic and benign variants. Studies of noncoding variants associated with a common, complex disease might select a cellular model whose regulatory elements are globally enriched for containing risk variants. Such comparisons to human genomic variation will provide an external benchmark applicable to evaluating many methods and design decisions throughout IGVF (see below).

# **A map of genome function and variant effects**

IGVF will deliver a preliminary variant effect map that integrates three key aspects of genome function: gene expression, protein function and molecular networks (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0). This draft map would enable querying for any possible SNV in the genome, is this variant measured or predicted to: (1) affect transcription factor binding, regulatory element activity and target gene expression in particular cell contexts, for noncoding variants; (2) affect protein function, for coding variants; and (3) connect to other genes or proteins via gene-regulatory networks and/or protein-interaction networks, for both coding and noncoding variants?

For each of these aspects of genome function, computational models have shown promise but much work is needed to improve their accuracy. Towards this goal, this preliminary map will integrate annotations of the different aspects of genome function and establish benchmarking pipelines to quantify the accuracy of all predictions against perturbation data and external human genetics datasets. We will encode this map of genome function, along with benchmarks and external data, in a multi-relational knowledge graph<sup>96-[99](#page-9-14)</sup> as part of the IGVF Catalog. The IGVF Catalog will provide a foundation for an iterative and ongoing effort extending beyond IGVF to improve the accuracy of this map over time (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)).

# **Effects on gene regulation**

In the 99% of our genome that does not encode for proteins, noncoding variants can affect genome function by altering gene expression, splicing, chromatin state or other aspects of gene regulation. Despite advances by ENCODE, GTEx and other projects, we still lack models that can make accurate causal inferences about how genomic varia-tion affects gene regulation<sup>94[,100,](#page-9-15)[101](#page-9-16)</sup>. We will seek to build genome-wide annotations of key components of this *cis*-regulatory code: which SNVs affect transcription factor binding sites, regulatory element activity and gene expression in *cis*, in which cell types or states, with what magnitude and direction of effect?

To do so, IGVF plans to: (1) generate multiomic snRNA-seq and snATAC-seq data at a depth needed to identify candidate *cis*-regulatory elements, detect probable transcription factor binding sites<sup>[35](#page-8-20),[102](#page-9-17)</sup> and predict enhancer-gene relationships<sup>[36](#page-8-46)[,37](#page-8-47)[,64](#page-8-29),[86,](#page-9-5)[88](#page-9-8)[,93](#page-9-9)</sup>; (2) test more than a million noncoding variants in enhancer activity reporter assays<sup>[51,](#page-8-26)[52](#page-8-44),[56](#page-8-45)[,57](#page-8-27)[,103](#page-9-18)</sup>; (3) test thousands of noncoding variants for effects on expression through fine-mapping of eQTLs or direct CRISPR-based genome editing $17,42-45,47$  $17,42-45,47$  $17,42-45,47$ ; (4) measure more than 100,000 putative regulatory interactions between candidate regulatory elements and nearby genes, for example using dCas9-based epigenome editing<sup>[85](#page-9-4),104-[107](#page-9-20)</sup>; and (5) perturb transcription factors to read out effects on gene expression using Perturb-seq<sup>[77](#page-8-41)-79</sup>. These experiments will be conducted in multiple

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1 | Systems and diseases studied and types of samples used in IGVF projects**



cellular models, so that the data can be used to develop predictive models that generalize across many cell types. These cellular models will include several that have been studied previously in ENCOD[E15](#page-8-3) and GTEx<sup>17</sup>, to enrich and benefit from rich existing datasets.

## **Effects on protein function**

For protein-coding sequences, our ability to interpret the functions of genomic variation is based on our knowledge of the genetic code for protein synthesis—which has enabled identification of open reading frames that encode novel proteins and understanding of nonsense or frameshift variants. However, most coding variants, including missense variants and in-frame indels, remain difficult to interpret, and we still lack a comprehensive understanding of how changes in protein sequence might affect different aspects of protein structure, expression, dynamics and activity.

We will improve the annotation of protein-coding missense variants by applying high-throughput technologies<sup>[25](#page-8-11),80-[83](#page-9-2)</sup> to experimentally characterize the effects of more than 200,000 missense variants on protein and cellular properties, including protein stability, subcellular localization, cell viability, cell morphology and protein–protein interactions. These experiments will directly characterize thousands of variants in clinically relevant genes, such as those associated with Mendelian diseases, and provide data to refine or develop new models to predict the probable effects of coding variants in other genes across the genome.

# **Molecular networks and cellular phenotypes**

Upon linking a variant to effects on gene expression or protein activity in *cis*, we will seek to annotate the sets of other genes and proteins linked to the variant in *trans* through molecular networks in a given cell type or state. Specifically, we will focus on defining three types of molecular networks: (1) gene expression programmes, described by sets of genes whose expression levels are correlated across single cells; (2) gene-regulatory networks that identify transcription factors that regulate specific target genes via specific noncoding regulatory sequences; and (3) sets of interacting proteins or protein complexes. We will also examine dynamic changes to these molecular networks



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3 | The IGVF Catalogue of genome function and the effects of genomic variation.** IGVF will create a catalogue that links genomic variation (top) to genome function (middle box) and phenotype (bottom). Examples of experimental methods applied by IGVF are shown in purple. Relationships where IGVF plans to develop and apply computational models to comprehensively annotate all possible SNVs across many cell types are in red. Relationships where IGVF plans to develop and apply computational methods in a more targeted fashion, for example in the context of certain cellular phenotypes or diseases are in orange. Examples of external resources or ontologies that could interact with and/or be incorporated into this catalogue are shown in blue. The listed set of edges represent current plans and are not exhaustive with respect to topics relevant

across cell-fate or cell-state transitions and, to a more limited extent, explore links to downstream cellular phenotypes.

To build these maps, we will collect longitudinal multiomic data across dynamic cellular processes including differentiation and reprogramming[70](#page-8-35),[108–](#page-9-21)[110](#page-9-22), study how genes and proteins interact in molecular networks, including by mapping protein–protein interactions<sup>25</sup> and conducting large-scale Perturb-seq<sup>77-79</sup>, and assess how CRISPR-based perturbations or natural genetic variation across individuals affects cellular phenotypes including differentiation, gene expression programmes and cellular states. Such time-resolved datasets will be used to build dynamical regulatory models that incorporate feedback and feed forward loops and account for cell fate or state transitions.

We anticipate that many aspects of this map will be cell-type-specific, with annotations for each of the hundreds of cell types, states and contexts studied by IGVF. For example, predictive models that use to interpreting genomic variation. Citations: dbSNP<sup>[150](#page-9-27)</sup>, ENCODE<sup>15</sup>, GENCODE<sup>151</sup>, Gene Ontology<sup>152</sup> (GO), gnomAD<sup>4</sup>, GTEx<sup>17</sup>, HuBMAP<sup>[20](#page-8-7)</sup>, Human Pangenome Reference Consortium<sup>147</sup> (HPRC), Human Phenotype Ontology<sup>153</sup> (HPO), IntAct Molecular Interaction Database<sup>[154](#page-10-10)</sup>, MaveDB<sup>24</sup>, Mondo Disease Ontology<sup>155</sup>, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man<sup>[156](#page-10-12)</sup> (OMIM), saturation genome editing<sup>23</sup> (SGE), UniProt<sup>[157](#page-10-13)</sup> and VAMP-seq<sup>22</sup>. caQTLs, chromatin accessibility QTLs; CRISPR KO, CRISPR-mediated knockout; MorPhiC, NHGRI Molecular Phenotypes of Null Alleles in Cells Consortium; PPIs, protein–protein interactions; pQTLs, protein QTLs; RVAS, rare variant association studies; scATAC-seq (or scATAC), single-cell assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with sequencing; scRNA-seq (or scRNA), single-cell RNA sequencing.

snRNA-seq and snATAC-seq as inputs could be developed using data from cellular models, in which predictions can be directly evaluated with matching perturbation data, and applied to make cell-type-specific predictions in cell types from primary tissues<sup>[36,](#page-8-46)[37,](#page-8-47)[86,](#page-9-5)[111](#page-9-23)</sup>.

# **Exploring the effects of variation on disease**

The map–perturb–predict framework and IGVF variant effect map will provide new resources for the community to study the impact of genomic variation on human diseases and phenotypes, but this goal presents additional challenges. For many diseases, an individual's risk is likely to be determined by a combination of thousands of independently acting variants<sup>[112](#page-9-24)[,113](#page-9-25)</sup>–including for diseases presumed to follow Mendelian inheritance patterns, where penetrance and expressivity may  $include a polygenic component<sup>114</sup>. A single variant may have pleiotropic$  $include a polygenic component<sup>114</sup>. A single variant may have pleiotropic$  $include a polygenic component<sup>114</sup>. A single variant may have pleiotropic$ effects on multiple genes and pathways, only one or several of which

may be important for disease<sup>1,[17,](#page-8-5)[88,](#page-9-8)[89](#page-9-32)</sup>. Disease susceptibility can involve many different cell types, possibly at specific timepoints, with effects accumulating over decades or in specific environmental contexts<sup>115</sup>. The effects of genomic variation on genome function and phenotype can also differ across age, sex, populations and ancestry—for example, owing to differences in allele frequencies<sup>116</sup> or possible genetic or environment interactions<sup>[117](#page-9-0)-121</sup>.

Towards addressing some of these challenges, we will focus on assessing how IGVF maps and methods can be best applied to: (1) inform clinical variant interpretation, particularly for rare diseases; (2) learn about molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying risk for common and rare diseases; and (3) ensure that lessons about the impact of genomic variation on genome function are applicable across diverse populations. Notably, each of these questions represents a major research area involving many strategies beyond those pursued in IGVF<sup>[7](#page-7-6)-[9](#page-7-7)[,28](#page-8-13)[,122](#page-9-36)-124</sup>, and these exploratory efforts will seek to integrate with other efforts in the field.

## **Informing genetic diagnosis**

IGVF will apply variant effect maps of coding variation to inform the clinical interpretation of VUSs in genes with known and suspected links to Mendelian genetic diseases. Data from multiplexed assays of variant effect can be translated into powerful evidence for clinical variant interpretation—for example, moving 50% of VUSs in *BRCA1*, 70% in *TP53*, 74% in *MSH2* and 90% in *DDX3X* into more definitive pathogenic or benign classifications $81,125,126$  $81,125,126$  $81,125,126$  $81,125,126$ . These studies have improved genetic test results for cancer risk and ended diagnostic odysseys for families with neurodevelopmental disease.

To expand this approach, IGVF laboratories will experimentally measure the effects of hundreds of thousands of variants in known disease genes, with a particular focus on those where identification of loss-of-function variants is clinically actionable $^{127,128}$  $^{127,128}$  $^{127,128}$  $^{127,128}$  $^{127,128}$ . We will assess the extent to which experimental data or computational predictions correctly identify variants previously classified as either pathogenic or benign, and calibrate these analyses for clinical applications<sup>129,130</sup>. Clinicians routinely use experimental and predictive data to interpret the effects of coding variants, but do not yet do so for noncoding variants. Thus we will explore whether IGVF data and predictions could also improve the clinical interpretation of noncoding variants. IGVF will deliver variant effect maps and calibrated predictions that will ultimately substantially reduce the VUS burden in aetiological diagnosis of rare disease<sup>124</sup>. Integration of maps for both coding and noncoding variants could also aid in the development of next-generation polygenic risk score methodologies for better risk characterization in complex phenotypes<sup>[117](#page-9-0)</sup>.

## **Molecular mechanisms of disease risk**

Improved variant effect maps could be transformative for identifying new biological mechanisms that influence genetic risk for disease. In particular, we will seek to understand how best to combine the map–perturb–predict framework and variant effect maps with human genetic data to nominate variants, genes, cell types and cellular programmes that influence disease risk.

We will study a variety of diseases and traits guided by the expertise of consortium members, including highly powered quantitative traits with simpler biological architectures, such as lipid and haematological traits, as well as complex diseases involving many cell types such as systemic lupus erythematosus, coronary artery disease and Alzheimer's disease. Comparison of strategies between these systems will be informative. For example, IGVF investigators are studying variants associated with lipid traits, where GWAS and whole-exome sequencing studies have already identified hundreds of associated noncoding and coding variants, and where certain key genetic pathways involved in lipid handling are already known<sup>[11](#page-7-8),[131](#page-9-45)-133</sup>. By conducting CRISPR screens to identify variants and regulatory elements that affect lipid uptake in cellular models enriched for trait heritability, testing variant effects on enhancer activity in massively parallel reporter assays, and applying state-of-the-art predictive models, we will evaluate which combinations of experiments and/or predictive models provide the best ability to predict disease-associated variation and known causal genes. To complement these high-throughput maps, certain projects will conduct detailed studies of mechanisms of particular GWAS loci or known disease genes, including in animal models. These combined efforts will reveal mechanisms of genetic risk for selected diseases, inform the molecular genetic architecture of complex traits and help to develop strategies to identify causal variants, genes and pathways for any complex disease.

## **Impact of variation across populations**

IGVF aims to ensure that insights about the impact of genomic variation are applicable to and inclusive of people of diverse groups. To do so, we will promote diversity in functional genomics studies, experimentally study and computationally annotate variants observed in diverse populations, study diseases disproportionately affecting disadvantaged or under-represented populations, and explore the extent to which particular variants might exert the same or different effects due to interactions with genetic background or environment $^{134-136}$  $^{134-136}$  $^{134-136}$ .

We will use both experimental and computational strategies. In the current design phase, we have incorporated variants from diverse populations, including from the 1000 Genomes Project<sup>137</sup>, Millions Veterans Program<sup>138</sup>, and cross-ancestry GWAS meta-analyses<sup>[131](#page-9-45),[139](#page-9-51)-142</sup>. Biological models include iPS cells derived from individuals from different populations (including European, East Asian and African), and geneti-cally diverse mouse lines from the Collaborative Cross<sup>[143](#page-9-53)</sup>. Saturation mutagenesis will be employed to measure variant effects in clinically relevant protein-coding sequences to enable interpretation of variants observed in any individual<sup>[144](#page-9-54)</sup>. We will deploy computational models to make context-specific predictions for SNVs across the genome, including methods to predict individual-specific effects of noncoding variants on chromatin state and gene expression<sup>[61,](#page-8-43)[63,](#page-8-50)64</sup>. These data and analyses will provide insights into variant effects across groups and provide a valuable resource for investigating the effects of variants discovered in diverse populations.

# **Data release and resources**

A major goal of IGVF is to catalyse future research to understand the relationships between genome function, genomic variation and phenotype. To do so, we will build the IGVF Data Resource to enable biomedical researchers across diverse disciplines to access and apply IGVF datasets, predictions and methods [\(https://igvf.org\)](https://igvf.org).

For researchers who want to explore data and predictions, we will create the IGVF Catalog. The IGVF Catalog will consist of one or more web portals that enable searching for information about specific variants, genomic loci or genes, and will draw from processed data, analysis products and computational predictions generated by IGVF as well as external data sources (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0). To support users who want programmatic access to perform integrative analyses or to develop web applications, we will also provide an application programming interface to the underlying knowledge graph.

For researchers who want to access raw or processed data, we will develop the IGVF Data Portal. The Data Portal will provide web-browser and programmatic access to uniformly processed datasets, analysis products and rich metadata, enabling users to develop new computational methods, analyse IGVF data in new ways, or compare their data to IGVF standards. The IGVF Data Portal will follow principles of making data FAIR<sup>[145](#page-9-55)</sup> (findable, accessible, interoperable and reusable). Data will be stored in cloud file buckets to facilitate computing on the data in place. Some IGVF data may not have consent for public sharing such data will be deposited in the NHGRI Analysis, Visualization and

Informatics Lab (AnVIL) platform to provide access control in adher-ence to National Institutes of Health (NIH) policy<sup>[146](#page-9-56)</sup>.

For researchers who want to apply IGVF methods and strategies to additional systems, the Data Portal will also share documentation on IGVF standards, protocols and best practices for experimental design, data analysis and predictive modelling. These resources will include computational methods, data formats and consensus data processing pipelines for key assays and analysis products, such as for snRNA-seq and snATAC-seq, CRISPR experiments, MPRAs, eQTL studies, and others. Data analysis tools will include approaches to assess replicates, quantify experimental noise and assess power. All data processing code will be released with open-source licenses to enable others to analyse similar data in an identical fashion, and we will strive to make sure that it can be run on computer resources that are accessible to researchers throughout the global research community.

For all researchers, we will provide training and support on how to access these IGVF resources. Up-to-date information on where to find instructional streaming videos, online notebooks and tutorials, and schedules for seminars and webinars are available at [www.igvf.org.](http://www.igvf.org) Altogether, we expect that these resources will enable a wide range of scientific activities, expanding far beyond the specific studies undertaken by the IGVF Consortium.

Finally, IGVF is committed to rapid release of data and results. Data and predictions will be released upon quality control and no later than manuscript submission, and manuscripts will be posted on preprint servers prior to manuscript submission.

# **Collaborations and community**

Understanding genomic variation and genome function is a grand challenge that demands global and interdisciplinary collaboration. IGVF welcomes collaboration with and input from the broader scientific community. Researchers interested in joining IGVF can apply for affiliate membership. Affiliate members can participate fully in working groups and other IGVF collaborations, and thereby drive the vision, goals, and execution of consortium activities. Further information is available at [https://igvf.org/affiliate-membership/.](https://igvf.org/affiliate-membership/)

IGVF is actively coordinating with other consortia, including Clin-Gen<sup>[8](#page-7-9)</sup>, the Genomics Research to Elucidate the Genetics of Rare diseases (GREGoR) consortium, and the Atlas of Variant Effects (AVE) Alliance<sup>144</sup>. These collaborations will facilitate the open exchange and interoperability of genomic data and resources, for example to use common variant naming schema, genome and transcriptome builds, and analysis pipelines.

Similarly, IGVF activities will benefit from close interactions with efforts to characterize human genomic variation and assemblies, such as the Human Pangenome Reference Consortium<sup>[147](#page-9-30)</sup> (HPRC), with efforts to catalogue disease-associated variation across ancestries, including All of Us<sup>148</sup> and TOPMed<sup>10</sup> (Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine), with efforts to build atlases using single-cell tools, such as the Human Cell Atlas<sup>21</sup> and HuBMAP<sup>20</sup> (Human Biomolecular Atlas Program), and with efforts to compare and evaluate strategies for interpreting genetic variation associated with disease, such as the International Common Disease Alliance<sup>28</sup>.

# **Outlook**

With the rapid expansion of human genetics studies linking variation to disease, the interpretation of the impact of genomic variation on function is currently a rate-limiting step for delivering on the promise of precision medicine. The IGVF Consortium will pursue a unique, coordinated strategy for accelerating progress (Box [2\)](#page-2-1).

Success for IGVF will involve creating resources and generating scientific advances not possible through individual efforts. Key outcomes include: (1) insights into genome biology and advances in genetic diagnosis enabled by the map–perturb–predict framework and variant effect maps; (2) an interoperable ecosystem of data, predictions, and models that will be used by IGVF and the broader scientific community to derive insights into genome function, genomic variation, and phenotype; (3) massive, uniformly processed datasets spanning single-cell and functional characterization assays that directly assay large swaths of the genome and serve as an enduring, foundational resource for developing predictive models; (4) a catalogue that provides web and programmatic access to look up integrative predictions and experimental data regarding variants, genomic elements and genes across many cell types and contexts; and (5) new methods and strategies for studying genome variation and function, derived through systematic comparisons of methods. Altogether, these activities will set in motion community efforts to expand on this framework by collecting additional datasets, training improved models, generating more accurate maps and expanding the approach to additional cell types and aspects of genome function.

Although ambitious, IGVF activities do have limitations in scope. IGVF aims for systematic analysis of certain aspects of genome function, but others—including effects on nuclear organization, RNA splicing, localization and translation, protein signalling and metabolism, and cellular phenotypes, cell–cell interactions and tissue organization—are of great interest but will require efforts beyond the current membership of the consortium. IGVF projects span a great breadth of cellular models and disease areas, but are not necessarily designed for comprehensive analysis of any single disease. IGVF will use cellular models to develop predictive models that are applicable to understanding variants in many systems, but systematic analysis to map epistatic interactions among variants, environment, time and other variables will require deeper studies and alternative approaches. IGVF welcomes interactions with or membership of projects that aim to explore or systematically address these areas of interest.

Many challenges lie ahead. Genomic technologies, both experimental and computational, are developing rapidly, and balancing the implementation of the newest scalable tools with continuing standards to ensure data interoperability will require attention. Although data generation technologies have increased throughput exponentially over the last 15 years, the amount of data needed to build accurate models of genome function is unknown, and fully realizing the goal of mapping the impact of genomic variation on function will require additional advances in both experimental and computational methods. For all of these challenges, the framework developed by the IGVF Consortium to develop and benchmark methods, refine best practices and standards, and share data and methods will drive scientific discoveries in human health and disease for years to come.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>1. Claussnitzer, M. et al. A brief history of human disease genetics. *Nature* **577**, 179–189 (2020).

**This review describes progress in identifying genomic variants associated with common and rare diseases, and the approaches needed to combine these data with maps of genome function to advance diagnostic and therapeutic strategies**.

- <span id="page-7-5"></span>2. Loos, R. J. F. 15 years of genome-wide association studies and no signs of slowing down. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5900 (2020).
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>3. Green, E. D. et al. Strategic vision for improving human health at the forefront of genomics. *Nature* **586**, 683–692 (2020).
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>4. Karczewski, K. J. et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature* **581**, 434–443 (2020).
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>5. Collins, R. L. et al. A structural variation reference for medical and population genetics. *Nature* **581**, 444–451 (2020).
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>6. Sollis, E. et al. The NHGRI–EBI GWAS Catalog: knowledgebase and deposition resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D977–D985 (2023).
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>7. Landrum, M. J. et al. ClinVar: public archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D980–D985 (2014).
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>8. Rehm, H. L. et al. ClinGen—the clinical genome resource. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **372**, 2235–2242 (2015).
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>9. Zhou, W. et al. Global Biobank Meta-analysis Initiative: powering genetic discovery across human disease. *Cell Genom* **2**, 100192 (2022).
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>10. Taliun, D. et al. Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI TOPMed Program. *Nature* **590**, 290–299 (2021).
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>11. Backman, J. D. et al. Exome sequencing and analysis of 454,787 UK Biobank participants. *Nature* **599**, 628–634 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>12. Karczewski, K. J. et al. Systematic single-variant and gene-based association testing of thousands of phenotypes in 394,841 UK Biobank exomes. *Cell Genomics* **2**, 100168 (2022).
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>13. Doolittle, W. F., Brunet, T. D. P., Linquist, S. & Gregory, T. R. Distinguishing between
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>'function' and 'effect' in genome biology. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **6**, 1234–1237 (2014). 14. Kellis, M. et al. Defining functional DNA elements in the human genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>*Sci. USA* **111**, 6131–6138 (2014). 15. ENCODE Project Consortium. Expanded encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes. *Nature* **583**, 699–710 (2020).
- **An exemplary team science effort which has led to development of methods, data resources and standards enabling fundamental advances in understanding gene regulation and genome function**.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>16. Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* **518**, 317–330 (2015).
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>17. GTEx Consortium. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. *Science* **369**, 1318–1330 (2020). **This latest flagship manuscript from the GTEx Consortium maps how genomic**
- **variation regulates gene expression across human tissues, providing a resource for interpreting the molecular effects of variants associated with common diseases**. 18. Võsa, U. et al. Large-scale *cis*- and *trans*-eQTL analyses identify thousands of genetic loci
- and polygenic scores that regulate blood gene expression. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1300–1310  $(2021)$ 19. Li, Y. I. et al. RNA splicing is a primary link between genetic variation and disease. *Science*
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>**352**, 600–604 (2016). 20. HuBMAP Consortium. The human body at cellular resolution: the NIH Human Biomolecular
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Atlas Program. *Nature* **574**, 187–192 (2019).
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>21. Regev, A. et al. The Human Cell Atlas. *eLife* **6**, e27041 (2017).
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>22. Matreyek, K. A. et al. Multiplex assessment of protein variant abundance by massively parallel sequencing. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 874–882 (2018).
- <span id="page-8-34"></span>23. Findlay, G. M. et al. Accurate classification of BRCA1 variants with saturation genome editing. *Nature* **562**, 217–222 (2018).
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>24. Esposito, D. et al. MaveDB: an open-source platform to distribute and interpret data from multiplexed assays of variant effect. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 223 (2019).
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>25. Luck, K. et al. A reference map of the human binary protein interactome. *Nature* **580**, 402–408 (2020).
- 26. Szklarczyk, D. et al. The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein–protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D605–D612 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>27. Pacini, C. et al. Integrated cross-study datasets of genetic dependencies in cancer. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1661 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>28. International Common Disease Alliance. ICDA Recommendations and White Paper. *ICDA* <https://icda.bio> (2020).
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>29. Abdellaoui, A., Yengo, L., Verweij, K. J. H. & Visscher, P. M. 15 years of GWAS discovery: realizing the promise. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **110**, 179–194 (2023).
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>30. Rehm, H. L. & Fowler, D. M. Keeping up with the genomes: scaling genomic variant interpretation. *Genome Med.* **12**, 5 (2019).
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>31. Bentley, A. R., Callier, S. & Rotimi, C. N. Diversity and inclusion in genomic research: why the uneven progress? *J. Community Genet.* **8**, 255 (2017).
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>32. Sirugo, G., Williams, S. M. & Tishkoff, S. A. The missing diversity in human genetic studies. *Cell* **177**, 1080 (2019).
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>33. Lappalainen, T. & MacArthur, D. G. From variant to function in human disease genetics. *Science* **373**, 1464–1468 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>34. Findlay, G. M. Linking genome variants to disease: scalable approaches to test the functional impact of human mutations. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **30**, R187–R197 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>35. Hu, Y. et al. Single-cell multi-scale footprinting reveals the modular organization of DNA regulatory elements. Preprint at *bioRxiv* <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.28.533945> (2023).
- <span id="page-8-46"></span>36. Granja, J. M. et al. ArchR is a scalable software package for integrative single-cell chromatin accessibility analysis. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 403–411 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-47"></span>37. Kartha, V. K. et al. Functional inference of gene regulation using single-cell multi-omics. *Cell Genomics* **2**, 100166 (2022).
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>38. Kotliar, D. et al. Identifying gene expression programs of cell-type identity and cellular activity with single-cell RNA-seq. *eLife* **8**, e43803 (2019).
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>39. Benner, C. et al. FINEMAP: efficient variable selection using summary data from genomewide association studies. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1493–1501 (2016).
- 40. Wang, G., Sarkar, A., Carbonetto, P. & Stephens, M. A simple new approach to variable selection in regression, with application to genetic fine mapping. *J. R. Stat. Soc. B* **82**, 1273–1300 (2020).
- 41. Weissbrod, O. et al. Functionally informed fine-mapping and polygenic localization of complex trait heritability. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 1355–1363 (2020).
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>42. Wang, Q. S. et al. Leveraging supervised learning for functionally informed fine-mapping of *cis*-eQTLs identifies an additional 20,913 putative causal eQTLs. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 3394 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>43. Cuella-Martin, R. et al. Functional interrogation of DNA damage response variants with base editing screens. *Cell* **184**, 1081–1097.e19 (2021).
- 44. Morris, J. A. et al. Discovery of target genes and pathways at GWAS loci by pooled single-cell CRISPR screens. *Science* **380**, eadh7699 (2023).
- <span id="page-8-48"></span>45. Martin-Rufino, J. D. et al. Massively parallel base editing to map variant effects in human hematopoiesis. *Cell* **186**, 2456–2474.e24 (2023).
- 46. Anzalone, A. V., Koblan, L. W. & Liu, D. R. Genome editing with CRISPR–Cas nucleases, base editors, transposases and prime editors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **38**, 824–844 (2020).
- <span id="page-8-49"></span>47. Hanna, R. E. et al. Massively parallel assessment of human variants with base editor screens. *Cell* **184**, 1064–1080.e20 (2021).
- 48. Klann, T. S. et al. CRISPR–Cas9 epigenome editing enables high-throughput screening for functional regulatory elements in the human genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 561–568 (2017).
- 49. Fulco, C. P. et al. Systematic mapping of functional enhancer–promoter connections with CRISPR interference. *Science* **354**, 769–773 (2016).
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>50. Canver, M. C. et al. BCL11A enhancer dissection by Cas9-mediated in situ saturating mutagenesis. *Nature* **527**, 192–197 (2015).
- **This study applied CRISPR–Cas9 screens to dissect a GWAS-nominated enhancer of** *BCL11A***, a negative regulator of fetal haemoglobin expression during erythropoiesis, and motivated the development of enhancer-targeting CRISPR therapeutics for sickle-cell disease**.
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>51. Arnold, C. D. et al. Genome-wide quantitative enhancer activity maps identified by STARR-seq. *Science* **339**, 1074–1077 (2013).
- <span id="page-8-44"></span>52. Melnikov, A. et al. Systematic dissection and optimization of inducible enhancers in human cells using a massively parallel reporter assay. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 271–277 (2012).
- 53. Bergman, D. T. et al. Compatibility rules of human enhancer and promoter sequences. *Nature* **607**, 176–184 (2022).
- 54. Vockley, C. M. et al. Massively parallel quantification of the regulatory effects of noncoding genetic variation in a human cohort. *Genome Res.* **25**, 1206–1214 (2015).
- 55. Klein, J. C. et al. A systematic evaluation of the design and context dependencies of massively parallel reporter assays. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 1083–1091 (2020).
- <span id="page-8-45"></span>56. Patwardhan, R. P. et al. High-resolution analysis of DNA regulatory elements by synthetic saturation mutagenesis. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **27**, 1173–1175 (2009).
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>57. Agarwal, V. et al. Massively parallel characterization of transcriptional regulatory elements in three diverse human cell types. Preprint at *bioRxiv*<https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.05.531189> (2023).
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>58. Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).
- 59. Alipanahi, B., Delong, A., Weirauch, M. T. & Frey, B. J. Predicting the sequence specificities of DNA- and RNA-binding proteins by deep learning. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 831–838  $(2015)$
- 60. Zhou, J. & Troyanskaya, O. G. Predicting effects of noncoding variants with deep learning-based sequence model. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 931–934 (2015). **The study develops a deep learning framework (DeepSEA) trained on chromatin profiling data to predict effects of single-nucleotide genomic variants on transcription factor binding and chromatin state**.
- <span id="page-8-43"></span>Avsec, Ž. et al. Base-resolution models of transcription-factor binding reveal soft motif syntax. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 354–366 (2021). **This study introduces the BPNet model, a convolutional neural network to predict**

**basepair-resolution epigenomic data from DNA sequence, and applies this framework to learn rules of the regulatory syntax underlying transcription factor binding**.

- 62. Beer, M. A. Predicting enhancer activity and variant impact using gkm-SVM. *Hum. Mutat.* **38**, 1251–1258 (2017).
- <span id="page-8-50"></span>63. Chen, K. M., Wong, A. K., Troyanskaya, O. G. & Zhou, J. A sequence-based global map of regulatory activity for deciphering human genetics. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 940–949 (2022).
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>64. Avsec, Ž. et al. Effective gene expression prediction from sequence by integrating long-range interactions. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 1196–1203 (2021).
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>65. Boyle, E. A., Li, Y. I. & Pritchard, J. K. An expanded view of complex traits: from polygenic to omnigenic. *Cell* **169**, 1177 (2017).
- 66. Rentzsch, P., Witten, D., Cooper, G. M., Shendure, J. & Kircher, M. CADD: predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D886–D894 (2018).
- <span id="page-8-31"></span>67. Han, J.-D. J. Understanding biological functions through molecular networks. *Cell Res.* **18**, 224–237 (2008).
- <span id="page-8-32"></span>68. Kryshtafovych, A., Schwede, T., Topf, M., Fidelis, K. & Moult, J. Critical assessment of methods of protein structure prediction (CASP)-Round XIV. *Proteins* **89**, 1607–1617  $(2021)$

**Work by CASP over almost 20 years illustrates how community efforts to develop gold-standard data, benchmarks and critical assessments can facilitate development of predictive models of protein structure and function, with CASP XIV marking a major advance through the introduction of AlphaFold2**.

- <span id="page-8-33"></span>69. The Critical Assessment of Genome Interpretation Consortium. CAGI, the Critical Assessment of Genome Interpretation, establishes progress and prospects for computational genetic variant interpretation methods. *Genome Biol.* **25**, 53 (2024). **This paper reports a collaborative effort to independently assess computational models for interpreting the effects of variants on molecular phenotypes and disease risk, and demonstrates their utility in clinical and research applications**.
- <span id="page-8-35"></span>70. Ma, S. et al. Chromatin potential identified by shared single-cell profiling of RNA and chromatin. *Cell* **183**, 1103–1116.e20 (2020). **This study introduces SHARE-seq and demonstrates how single-cell multiomic data enables mapping dynamics of regulatory element activity across differentiation states by correlating distal enhancers with target genes**.
- <span id="page-8-36"></span>71. Tran, V. et al. High sensitivity single cell RNA sequencing with split pool barcoding. Preprint at *bioRxiv* <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.27.505512> (2022).
- <span id="page-8-37"></span>72. Xu, Y. et al. An atlas of genetic scores to predict multi-omic traits. *Nature* **616**, 123–131 (2023).
- <span id="page-8-38"></span>73. Nathan, A. et al. Single-cell eQTL models reveal dynamic T cell state dependence of disease loci. *Nature* **606**, 120–128 (2022).
- 74. Perez, R. K. et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals cell type–specific molecular and genetic associations to lupus. *Science* **376**, eabf1970 (2022).
- <span id="page-8-39"></span>75. Yazar, S. et al. Single-cell eQTL mapping identifies cell type–specific genetic control of autoimmune disease. *Science* **376**, eabf3041 (2022).
- <span id="page-8-40"></span>76. Gate, R. E. et al. Genetic determinants of co-accessible chromatin regions in activated T cells across humans. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1140–1150 (2018).
- <span id="page-8-41"></span>77. Adamson, B. et al. A multiplexed single-cell CRISPR screening platform enables systematic dissection of the unfolded protein response. *Cell* **167**, 1867–1882.e21 (2016).
- 78. Dixit, A. et al. Perturb-Seq: dissecting molecular circuits with scalable single-cell RNA profiling of pooled genetic screens. *Cell* **167**, 1853–1866.e17 (2016).
- <span id="page-8-42"></span>79. Replogle, J. M. et al. Mapping information-rich genotype-phenotype landscapes with genome-scale Perturb-seq. *Cell* **185**, 2559–2575.e28 (2022).

- <span id="page-9-1"></span>80. Sahni, N. et al. Widespread macromolecular interaction perturbations in human genetic disorders. *Cell* **161**, 647–660 (2015). **Systematic open reading frame screens showed that a majority of coding variants in Mendelian disorders affect protein interaction networks, providing a resource to benchmark predictors of variant effects**.
- <span id="page-9-38"></span>81. Fayer, S. et al. Closing the gap: Systematic integration of multiplexed functional data resolves variants of uncertain significance in *BRCA1*, *TP53* and *PTEN*. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **108**, 2248–2258 (2021).
	- **This study illustrates how experimentally derived variant effect maps can have high clinical utility in interpreting variants for Mendelian diseases**.
- 82. Starita, L. M. et al. Massively parallel functional analysis of BRCA1 RING domain variants. *Genetics* **200**, 413–422 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>83. Sun, S. et al. An extended set of yeast-based functional assays accurately identifies human disease mutations. *Genome Res.* **26**, 670–680 (2016).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>84. Bray, M.-A. et al. Cell painting, a high-content image-based assay for morphological profiling using multiplexed fluorescent dyes. *Nat. Protoc.* **11**, 1757–1774 (2016).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>85. Fulco, C. P. et al. Activity-by-contact model of enhancer-promoter regulation from thousands of CRISPR perturbations. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1664–1669 (2019).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>86. Sakaue, S. et al. Tissue-specific enhancer–gene maps from multimodal single-cell data identify causal disease alleles. *Nat. Genet.* **56**, 615–626 (2024).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>87. Weeks, E. M. et al. Leveraging polygenic enrichments of gene features to predict genes underlying complex traits and diseases. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 1267–1276 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>88. Nasser, J. et al. Genome-wide enhancer maps link risk variants to disease genes. *Nature* **593**, 238–243 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-32"></span>89. Schnitzler, G. R. et al. Convergence of coronary artery disease genes onto endothelial cell programs. *Nature* **626**, 799–807 (2024).
- 90. Finucane, H. K. et al. Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell types. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 621–629 (2018).
- 91. Forgetta, V. et al. An effector index to predict target genes at GWAS loci. *Hum. Genet.* **141**, 1431–1447 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>92. Ghoussaini, M. et al. Open Targets Genetics: systematic identification of trait-associated genes using large-scale genetics and functional genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D1311–D1320 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>93. Gschwind, A. R. et al. an encyclopedia of enhancer-gene regulatory interactions in the human genome. Preprint at *bioRxiv* <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.09.563812> (2023).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>94. Karollus, A., Mauermeier, T. & Gagneur, J. Current sequence-based models capture gene expression determinants in promoters but mostly ignore distal enhancers. *Genome Biol.* **24**, 56 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>95. The Complex Trait Consortium. The Collaborative Cross, a community resource for the genetic analysis of complex traits. *Nat. Genet.* **36**, 1133–1137 (2024).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>96. Hogan, A. et al. Knowledge Graphs. Preprint at *arXiv* [https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2003.](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2003.02320) [02320](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2003.02320) (2020).
- 97. Feng, F. et al. GenomicKB: a knowledge graph for the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D950–D956 (2023).
- 98. Chandak, P., Huang, K. & Zitnik, M. Building a knowledge graph to enable precision medicine. *Sci. Data* **10**, 67 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>99. Lobentanzer, S. et al. Democratizing knowledge representation with BioCypher. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **41**, 1056–1059 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>100. Ambrosini, G. et al. Insights gained from a comprehensive all-against-all transcription factor binding motif benchmarking study. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 114 (2020).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>101. de Boer, C. G. & Taipale, J. Hold out the genome: a roadmap to solving the *cis*-regulatory code. *Nature* **625**, 41–50 (2024).
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>102. Yuan, H. & Kelley, D. R. scBasset: sequence-based modeling of single-cell ATAC-seq using convolutional neural networks. *Nat. Methods* **19**, 1088–1096 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>103. Inoue, F. et al. A systematic comparison reveals substantial differences in chromosomal versus episomal encoding of enhancer activity. *Genome Res.* **27**, 38–52 (2017).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>104. Xie, S., Duan, J., Li, B., Zhou, P. & Hon, G. C. Multiplexed engineering and analysis of combinatorial enhancer activity in single cells. *Mol. Cell* **66**, 285–299.e5 (2017).
- 105. Gasperini, M. et al. A genome-wide framework for mapping gene regulation via cellular genetic screens. *Cell* **176**, 1516 (2019).
- 106. Reilly, S. K. et al. Direct characterization of *cis*-regulatory elements and functional dissection of complex genetic associations using HCR–FlowFISH. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1166–1176 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>107. Schraivogel, D. et al. Targeted Perturb-seq enables genome-scale genetic screens in single cells. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 629–635 (2020).
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>108. McGinnis, C. S. et al. MULTI-seq: sample multiplexing for single-cell RNA sequencing using lipid-tagged indices. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 619–626 (2019).
- 109. Daniel, B. et al. Divergent clonal differentiation trajectories of T cell exhaustion. *Nat. Immunol.* **23**, 1614–1627 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>110. Rebboah, E. et al. Mapping and modeling the genomic basis of differential RNA isoform expression at single-cell resolution with LR-Split-seq. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 286 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>111. Pratapa, A., Jalihal, A. P., Law, J. N., Bharadwaj, A. & Murali, T. M. Benchmarking algorithms for gene regulatory network inference from single-cell transcriptomic data. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 147–154 (2020).
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>112. Zhang, Y., Qi, G., Park, J.-H. & Chatterjee, N. Estimation of complex effect-size distributions using summary-level statistics from genome-wide association studies across 32 complex traits. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1318–1326 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>113. O'Connor, L. J. The distribution of common-variant effect sizes. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1243–1249 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>114. Lewis, C. M. & Vassos, E. Polygenic risk scores: from research tools to clinical instruments. *Genome Med.* **12**, 44 (2020).
- <span id="page-9-33"></span>Hekselman, I. & Yeger-Lotem, E. Mechanisms of tissue and cell-type specificity in heritable traits and diseases. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **21**, 137–150 (2020).
- <span id="page-9-34"></span>116. Uffelmann, E. et al. Genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Rev. Methods Prime.* **1**, 59 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>117. Weissbrod, O. et al. Leveraging fine-mapping and multipopulation training data to improve cross-population polygenic risk scores. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 450–458 (2022).
- 118. Heid, I. M. et al. Meta-analysis identifies 13 new loci associated with waist-hip ratio and reveals sexual dimorphism in the genetic basis of fat distribution. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 949–960 (2010).
- 119. Goossens, G. H., Jocken, J. W. E. & Blaak, E. E. Sexual dimorphism in cardiometabolic health: the role of adipose tissue, muscle and liver. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **17**, 47–66 (2021).
- 120. Rajabli, F. et al. Ancestral origin of ApoE ε4 Alzheimer disease risk in Puerto Rican and African American populations. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007791 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-35"></span>121. Blue, E. E., Horimoto, A. R. V. R., Mukherjee, S., Wijsman, E. M. & Thornton, T. A. Local ancestry at *APOE* modifies Alzheimer's disease risk in Caribbean Hispanics. *Alzheimers Dement.* **15**, 1524–1532 (2019).
- <span id="page-9-36"></span>122. Baxter, S. M. et al. Centers for Mendelian genomics: a decade of facilitating gene discovery. *Genet. Med.* **24**, 784–797 (2022).
- 123. Costanzo, M. C. et al. The Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal: an open access genetic resource dedicated to type 2 diabetes and related traits. *Cell Metab.* **35**, 695–710.e6 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-37"></span>124. Richards, S. et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet. Med.* **17**, 405–424  $(2015)$
- <span id="page-9-39"></span>125. Scott, A. et al. Saturation-scale functional evidence supports clinical variant interpretation in Lynch syndrome. *Genome Biol.* **23**, 266 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-40"></span>126. Radford, E. J. et al. Saturation genome editing of DDX3X clarifies pathogenicity of germline and somatic variation. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 7702 2023
- <span id="page-9-41"></span>127. Wojcik, M. H. et al. Beyond the exome: what's next in diagnostic testing for Mendelian conditions. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **110**, 1229–1248 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-42"></span>128. Miller, D. T. et al. ACMG SF v3.1 list for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing: a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet. Med.* **24**, 1407–1414 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-43"></span>129. Pejaver, V. et al. Calibration of computational tools for missense variant pathogenicity classification and ClinGen recommendations for PP3/BP4 criteria. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **109**, 2163–2177 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-44"></span>130. Brnich, S. E. et al. Recommendations for application of the functional evidence PS3/BS3 criterion using the ACMG/AMP sequence variant interpretation framework. *Genome Med.* **12**, 3 (2019).
- <span id="page-9-45"></span>131. Graham, S. E. et al. The power of genetic diversity in genome-wide association studies of lipids. *Nature* **600**, 675–679 (2021).

**This study demonstrates how expanding genomic studies to include people of non-European ancestries will improve identification of functional variants and the portability of polygenic risk scores to diverse groups**.

- 132. Musunuru, K. & Kathiresan, S. Genetics of common, complex coronary artery disease. *Cell* **177**, 132–145 (2019).
- <span id="page-9-46"></span>133. Hamilton, M. C. et al. Systematic elucidation of genetic mechanisms underlying cholesterol uptake. *Cell Genomics* **3**, 100304 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-47"></span>134. Martin, A. R. et al. Clinical use of current polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 584–591 (2019).
- 135. Shi, H. et al. Population-specific causal disease effect sizes in functionally important regions impacted by selection. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1098 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-48"></span>136. Hou, K. et al. Causal effects on complex traits are similar for common variants across segments of different continental ancestries within admixed individuals. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 549–558 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-49"></span>137. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-50"></span>138. Gaziano, J. M. et al. Million Veteran Program: a mega-biobank to study genetic influences on health and disease. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **70**, 214–223 (2016).
- <span id="page-9-51"></span>139. Kanoni, S. et al. Implicating genes, pleiotropy, and sexual dimorphism at blood lipid loci through multi-ancestry meta-analysis. *Genome Biol.* **23**, 268 (2022).
- 140. Sakaue, S. et al. A cross-population atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1415–1424 (2021).
- 141. Aragam, K. G. et al. Discovery and systematic characterization of risk variants and genes for coronary artery disease in over a million participants. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 1803–1815 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-52"></span>142. Tcheandjieu, C. et al. Large-scale genome-wide association study of coronary artery disease in genetically diverse populations. *Nat. Med.* **28**, 1679–1692 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-53"></span>143. Threadgill, D. W., Miller, D. R., Churchill, G. A. & de Villena, F. P.-M. The collaborative cross: a recombinant inbred mouse population for the systems genetic era. *ILAR J.* **52**, 24–31 (2011).
- <span id="page-9-54"></span>144. Fowler, D. M. et al. An Atlas of Variant Effects to understand the genome at nucleotide resolution. *Genome Biol.* **24**, 147 (2023).
- <span id="page-9-55"></span>145. Wilkinson, M. D. et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Sci. Data* **3**, 160018 (2016).
- <span id="page-9-56"></span>146. Schatz, M. C. et al. Inverting the model of genomics data sharing with the NHGRI Genomic Data Science Analysis, Visualization, and Informatics Lab-space. *Cell Genomics* **2**, 100085 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>147. Wang, T. et al. The Human Pangenome Project: a global resource to map genomic diversity. *Nature* **604**, 437–446 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-57"></span>148. All of Us Research Program Investigators. The 'All of Us' Research Program. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **381**, 668–676 (2019).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>149. Gilbert, L. A. et al. Genome-scale CRISPR-mediated control of gene repression and activation. *Cell* **159**, 647–661 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>150. Sherry, S. T. et al. dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**, 308–311 (2001).
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>151. Frankish, A. et al. GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D766–D773 (2019).
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>152. Ashburner, M. et al. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.* **25**, 25–29 (2000).
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>153. Köhler, S. et al. The Human Phenotype Ontology in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D1207–D1217 (2021).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>154. Del Toro, N. et al. The IntAct database: efficient access to fine-grained molecular interaction data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D648–D653 (2022).
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>155. Vasilevsky, N. A. et al. Mondo: Unifying diseases for the world, by the world. Preprint at *medRxiv* <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.13.22273750>(2022).
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>156. Amberger, J. S., Bocchini, C. A., Scott, A. F. & Hamosh, A. OMIM.org: leveraging knowledge across phenotype–gene relationships. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D1038–D1043 (2019).
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>157. The UniProt Consortium UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D523–D531 (2023).
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>158. Musunuru, K. et al. From noncoding variant to phenotype via SORT1 at the 1p13 cholesterol locus. *Nature* **466**, 714–719 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>159. Kjolby, M. et al. *Sort1*, encoded by the cardiovascular risk locus 1p13.3, is a regulator of hepatic lipoprotein export. *Cell Metab.* **12**, 213–223 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>160. Claussnitzer, M. et al. *FTO* obesity variant circuitry and adipocyte browning in humans. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **373**, 895–907 (2015).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>161. Smemo, S. et al. Obesity-associated variants within *FTO* form long-range functional connections with *IRX3*. *Nature* **507**, 371 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>162. Graham, D. B. & Xavier, R. J. Pathway paradigms revealed from the genetics of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* **578**, 527–539 (2020).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>163. Kim, S., Eun, H. S. & Jo, E.-K. Roles of autophagy-related genes in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Cells* **8**, 77 (2019).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>164. Singh, N. K., Singh, N. N., Androphy, E. J. & Singh, R. N. Splicing of a critical exon of human survival motor neuron is regulated by a unique silencer element located in the last intron. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**, 1333 (2006).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>165. Hua, Y. et al. Antisense correction of SMN2 splicing in the CNS rescues necrosis in a type III SMA mouse model. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 1634 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>166. Frangoul, H. et al. CRISPR–Cas9 gene editing for sickle cell disease and β-thalassemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **384**, 252–260 (2021).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>167. Sankaran, V. G. et al. Human fetal hemoglobin expression is regulated by the developmental stage-specific repressor *BCL11A*. *Science* **322**, 1839–1842 (2008).

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the NIH NHGRI IGVF Program (UM1HG011966, UM1HG011969, UM1HG011972, UM1HG011989, UM1HG011996, UM1HG012003, UM1HG012010, UM1HG012053, UM1HG011986, UM1HG012076, UM1HG012077, U01HG011952, U01HG011967, U01HG012009, U01HG012022, U01HG012039, U01HG012064, U01HG012069, U01HG012041,

U01HG012047, U01HG012051, U01HG012059, U01HG012079, U01HG012103, U24HG012012, U24HG012070), NIH NCI (R01CA197774), and the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF21SA0072102). Artwork in Figs. [1](#page-1-0)[–3](#page-5-0) were created by SciStories and V. Yeaung. We thank members of the IGVF External Consultants Panel (G. Bourque, P. Mali, J. Cho, B. Engelhardt and O. Troyanskaya) for critical feedback on the manuscript.

**Author contributions** J.M.E., H.A.L. and H. Singh co-led the Writing Group. J.M.E., H.A.L., H. Singh, L. M. Starita, G.C.H., H. Carter, N. Sahni, T.E.R., X. Lin, Y. Li, N.V.M., M.H.C., B.C.H. and A.M. wrote initial text based on input from principal investigators, the Writing Group, and Working Group and Focus Group co-chairs. J.M.E., A.P.B. and J. Ryu developed figures. All authors contributed to developing the vision and goals of the IGVF Consortium, outlining the project, and editing the manuscript. The role of the NHGRI Program Management in the preparation of this paper was limited to coordination and scientific management of the IGVF Consortium.

**Competing interests** R.D.S. has been a consultant for Leadiant Biosciences, Mirum Pharmaceuticals, PTC Therapeutics and Travere. He has received honoraria from Medscape and is an employee and shareholder of PreventionGenetics, part of Exact Sciences. B.P.K. is a co-inventor on patents and patent applications that describe genome engineering technologies, and is on the scientific advisory board of Acrigen Biosciences, Life Edit Therapeutics and Prime Medicine. The other authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to IGVF Consortium. **Peer review information** *Nature* thanks Tiffany Amariuta and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at<http://www.nature.com/reprints>. **Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author selfarchiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

© Springer Nature Limited 2024

**IGVF Consortium**✉

#### **Writing group (ordered by contribution)**

Jesse M. Engreitz<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Heather A. Lawson<sup>5</sup>, Harinder Singh<sup>6</sup>, Lea M. Starita<sup>7,8</sup>, Gary C. Hon<sup>9,10,11</sup>, Hannah Carter<sup>12</sup>, Nidhi Sahni<sup>13,14</sup>, Timothy E. Reddy<sup>15</sup>, Xihong Lin<sup>16,17</sup>, Yun Li<sup>18,19</sup>, Nikhil V. Munshi<sup>9,20,21,22</sup>, Maria H. Chahrour<sup>22,23,24,25,26</sup>, Alan P. Boyle<sup>27,28</sup>, Benjamin C. Hitz<sup>1</sup>,<br>Ali Mortazavi<sup>29,30</sup>, Mark Craven<sup>31</sup>, Karen L. Mohlke<sup>18</sup>, Luca Pinello<sup>4,32</sup> & Ting Wang<sup>5,33</sup>

## **Steering Committee Co-Chairs (alphabetical by last name)**

Anshul Kundaje<sup>1,34</sup>, Karen L. Mohlke<sup>18</sup> & Feng Yue<sup>35,36</sup>

#### **Code of Conduct Committee (alphabetical by last name)**

Sarah Cody<sup>33</sup>, Nina P. Farrell<sup>4</sup>, Michael I. Love<sup>18,19</sup>, Lara A. Muffley<sup>7</sup>, Michael J. Pazin<sup>37</sup>, Fairlie Reese<sup>29,30</sup> & Eric Van Buren<sup>16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA.<sup>2</sup>Basic Science and Engineering Initiative, Stanford Children's Health, Betty Irene Moore Children's Heart Center, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>3</sup>The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Genomic Mechanisms of Disease, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Gene Regulation Observatory, The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>5</sup>Department of Genetics, Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA. <sup>6</sup>Departments of Immunology and Computational and Systems Biology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. 'Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.<br><sup>8</sup>Brotman Baty Instituto for Procision Modicine, Seattle, WA, USA, <sup>9</sup>Cecil H, and Ida Green Brotman Baty Institute for Precision Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>9</sup>Cecil H. and Ida Green Center for Reproductive Biology Sciences, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>10</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>11</sup>Department of Bioinformatics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>12</sup>Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. <sup>13</sup>Department of Epigenetics and Molecular Carcinogenesis, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA. 14Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA. <sup>15</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>16</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>17</sup>Department of Statistics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>18</sup>Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. 19Department of Biostatistics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. 20Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA.<sup>21</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>22</sup>Eugene McDermott Center for Human Growth and Development, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>23</sup>Department of Neuroscience, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. 24Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>25</sup> Center for the Genetics of Host Defense, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>26</sup>Peter O'Donnell Jr Brain Institute, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. 27Department of Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. <sup>28</sup>Department of Human Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. <sup>29</sup>Department of Developmental and Cell Biology, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA. <sup>30</sup>Center for Complex Biological Systems, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA. <sup>31</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA. <sup>32</sup>Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. 33McDonnell Genome Institute, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA. 34Department of Computer Science, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>35</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, Feinberg School of Medicine Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA. <sup>36</sup>Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center of Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA. 37Division of Genome Sciences, National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, MD, USA.

#### **Working Group and Focus Group Co-Chairs (alphabetical by last name)**

#### **Catalog**

Kushal K. Dey<sup>38</sup>, Benjamin C. Hitz<sup>1</sup>, Michael I. Love<sup>18,19</sup>, Lea M. Starita<sup>7,8</sup> & Feng Yue<sup>35,36</sup>

#### **Characterization**

Gary C. Hon<sup>9,10,11</sup>, Martin Kircher<sup>40,41</sup> & Timothy E. Reddy<sup>15</sup>

#### **Computational Analysis, Modeling, and Prediction** Xihong Lin<sup>16,17</sup>, Jian Ma<sup>42</sup> & Predrag Radivojac<sup>4</sup>

**Project Design**

Brunilda Balliu<sup>44,45,46</sup>, Jesse M. Engreitz<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> & Nidhi Sahni<sup>13,14</sup>

**Mapping** Nina P. Farrell<sup>4</sup> & Brian A. Williams<sup>47</sup>

#### **Networks**

Hannah Carter<sup>12</sup> & Danwei Huangfu<sup>48,49</sup>

## **Standards and Pipelines**

Anshul Kundaje $1,34}$  & Luca Pinello<sup>4,32</sup>

#### **Cardiometabolic**

Nikhil V. Munshi<sup>20,21</sup>, Chong Y. Park<sup>50</sup> & Thomas Quertermous<sup>50</sup>

#### **Cellular Programs and Networks**

Hannah Carter<sup>12</sup> & Jishnu Das<sup>6</sup>

### **Coding Variants**

Michael A. Calderwood<sup>51,52,53</sup>, Douglas M. Fowler<sup>7,8</sup>, Predrag Radivojac<sup>43</sup>, Lea M. Starita<sup>7,8</sup> & Marc Vidal<sup>51,5</sup>

#### **CRISPR**

Lucas Ferreira<sup>54,55</sup> & Luca Pinello<sup>4,32</sup>

#### **Defining and Systematizing Function** Mark Craven<sup>31</sup>, Sean D. Mooney<sup>56</sup> & Vikas Pejaver<sup>57,58</sup>

# **Enumerating Variants**

Benjamin C. Hitz<sup>1</sup> & Jingjing Zhao<sup>59</sup>

#### **Evolution**

Steven Gazal<sup>60,61,62</sup>, Evan Koch<sup>54</sup>, Steven K. Reilly<sup>63</sup> & Shamil Sunyaev<sup>54,64</sup>

# **Imaging**

Anne E. Carpenter<sup>65</sup>

**Immune** Jason D. Buenrostro<sup>4,66</sup>, Christina S. Leslie<sup>38</sup> & Rachel E. Savage<sup>4,66</sup>

#### **Impact on Diverse Populations**

Stefanija Giric<sup>18</sup> & Yun Li<sup>18</sup>

#### **iPSC**

Chongyuan Luo<sup>67</sup> & Kathrin Plath<sup>66</sup>

### **MPRA**

Alejandro Barrera<sup>15,69</sup>, Michael I. Love<sup>18,19</sup> & Max Schubach<sup>41</sup>

## **Noncoding Variants**

Jesse M. Engreitz<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Andreas R. Gschwind<sup>1</sup>, Jill E. Moore<sup>70</sup> & Nidhi Sahni<sup>13,14</sup>

#### **Neuro**

Nadav Ahituv<sup>59</sup> & Maria H. Chahrour<sup>22,23,24,25,26</sup>

#### **Phenotypic Impact and Function**

Kushal Dey<sup>38</sup>, Xihong Lin<sup>16,17</sup> & S. Stephen Yi<sup>71,72</sup>

#### **QTL/Statgen**

Brunilda Balliu<sup>44,45,46</sup>, Ingileif Hallgrimsdottir<sup>47</sup>, Kyle J. Gaulton<sup>73</sup> & Saori Sakaue<sup>64,74</sup>

#### **Single Cell**

Sina Booeshaghi<sup>47</sup>, Anshul Kundaje<sup>1,34</sup>, Eugenio Mattei<sup>4</sup>, Ali Mortazavi<sup>29,30</sup>, Surag Nair<sup>34</sup>, Lior Pachter<sup>47,75</sup> & Austin T. Wang<sup>34</sup>

38 Computational and Systems Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA. 39Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>40</sup>Institute of Human Genetics, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany. 41Exploratory Diagnostic Sciences, Berlin Institute of Health at Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. <sup>42</sup>Computational Biology Department, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. 43Khoury College of Computer Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA 44Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 45Department of Computational Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>46</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 47Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA. 48Developmental Biology Program, Sloan Kettering Institute, New York, NY, USA. 49Center for Stem Cell Biology, Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research, New York, NY, USA. 50Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, USA.<sup>51</sup>Center for Cancer Systems Biology (CCSB), Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>52</sup>Department of Genetics, Blavatnik Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. 53Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>54</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. 55 Division of Hematology/Oncology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>56</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics and Medical Education, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.<sup>57</sup>Institute for Genomic Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. <sup>58</sup>Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.<sup>59</sup>Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>60</sup>Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Population and Public

Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>61</sup>Department of Quantitative and Computational Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>62</sup>Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>63</sup>Department of Genetics, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA. <sup>64</sup>Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>65</sup>Imaging Platform, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>66</sup>Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA. 67Department of Human Genetics, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>68</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>69</sup>Center for Advanced Genomic Technologies, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. 70Program in Bioinformatics and Integrative Biology, UMass Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA. <sup>71</sup>Livestrong Cancer Institutes, Department of Oncology, and Department of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA. <sup>72</sup>Interdisciplinary Life Sciences Graduate Programs (ILSGP) and Oden Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences (ICES), The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA. 73Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. 74Department of Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA. 75Department of Computing and Mathematical Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.

#### **Characterization Awards (contact PI, MPIs (alphabetical by last name), other members (alphabetical by last name))**

#### **UM1HG011966**

Jay Shendure<sup>7,8,76,77,78</sup>, Nadav Ahituv<sup>59</sup>, Martin Kircher<sup>40,41</sup>, Vikram Agarwal<sup>7,79</sup>, Andrew Blair<sup>59</sup>, Theofilos Chalkiadakis<sup>41</sup>, Florence M. Chardon<sup>7</sup>, Pyaree M. Dash<sup>41</sup>, Chengyu Deng<sup>59</sup>, Nobuhiko Hamazaki<sup>7</sup>, Pia Keukeleire<sup>40</sup>, Connor Kubo<sup>7</sup>, Jean-Benoît Lalanne<sup>7</sup>, Thorben Maass<sup>40</sup>, Beth Martin<sup>7</sup>, Troy A. McDiarmid<sup>7</sup>, Mai Nobuhara<sup>59</sup>, Nicholas F. Page<sup>59</sup>, Sam Regalado<sup>7</sup>, Max Schubach<sup>41</sup>, Jasmine Sims<sup>59</sup>, Aki Ushiki<sup>59</sup> & Jingjing Zhao<sup>59</sup>

#### **UM1HG011969**

Lea M. Starita<sup>78</sup>, Douglas M. Fowler<sup>78</sup>, Sabrina M. Best<sup>7</sup>, Gabe Boyle<sup>7</sup>, Nathan Camp<sup>80</sup>, Silvia Casadei<sup>7</sup>, Estelle Y. Da<sup>81</sup>, Moez Dawood<sup>8,82</sup>, Samantha C. Dawson<sup>80</sup>, Shawn Fayer<sup>7</sup>,<br>Audrey Hamm<sup>7</sup>, Richard G. James<sup>80</sup>, Gail P. Jarvik<sup>7</sup>, Abbye E. McEwen<sup>7,8,83</sup>, Nick Moore<sup>81</sup>, Lara A. Muffley<sup>7</sup>, Sriram Pendyala<sup>7</sup>, Nicholas A. Popp<sup>7</sup>, Mason Post<sup>7</sup>, Alan F. Rubin<sup>81</sup>, Jay Shendure<sup>7,8,76,77,78</sup>, Nahum T. Smith<sup>7</sup>, Jeremy Stone<sup>8</sup>, Malvika Tejura<sup>7</sup>, Ziyu R. Wang<sup>7</sup>, Melinda K. Wheelock<sup>7</sup>, Ivan Woo<sup>7</sup> & Brendan D. Zapp<sup>7</sup>

#### **UM1HG011972**

Jesse M. Engreitz<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Thomas Quertermous<sup>50</sup>, Dulguun Amgalan<sup>1,2</sup>, Aradhana Aradhana<sup>1</sup>, Sophia M. Arana<sup>1</sup>, Michael C. Bassik<sup>1</sup>, Julia R. Bauman<sup>1</sup>, Asmita Bhattacharya<sup>1</sup>,<br>Xiangmeng Shawn Cai<sup>12,84</sup>, Ziwei Chen<sup>34</sup>, Stephanie Conley<sup>12</sup>, Salil Deshpande<sup>85</sup>, Benjamin R. Doughty<sup>1</sup>, Peter P. Du<sup>1</sup>, James A. Galante<sup>1</sup>, Casey Gifford<sup>1,2,86,87</sup>, William J. Greenleaf<sup>1,88</sup>, Andreas R. Gschwind<sup>1</sup>, Katherine Guo<sup>1,2</sup>, Revant Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Sarasa Isobe<sup>89</sup>, Evelyn Jagoda<sup>3,50</sup>, Nimit Jain<sup>1,90</sup>, Hank Jones<sup>1,2</sup>, Helen Y. Kang<sup>1,2</sup>, Samuel H. Kim<sup>91</sup>, YeEun Kim<sup>92</sup> Sandy Klemm<sup>1</sup>, Anshul Kundaje<sup>1,34</sup>, Ramen Kundu<sup>50</sup>, Soumya Kundu<sup>1,34</sup>, Mauro Lago-Docampo<sup>89</sup>, Yannick C. Lee-Yow<sup>1,2</sup>, Roni Levin-Konigsberg<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Y. Li<sup>50</sup>, Dominik Lindenhofer<sup>93</sup>, X. Rosa Ma<sup>1,2</sup>, Georgi K. Marinov<sup>1</sup>, Gabriella E. Martyn<sup>1,2</sup>, Chloe V. McCreery<sup>1</sup>, Eyal Metzl-Raz<sup>1</sup>, Joao P. Monteiro<sup>50</sup>, Michael T. Montgomery<sup>1,2</sup>, Kristy S. Mualim<sup>2,94,95</sup>, Chad Munger<sup>1,2</sup>, Glen Munson<sup>3</sup>, Tri C. Nguyen<sup>1,2</sup>, Trieu Nguyen<sup>50</sup>, Brian T. Palmisano<sup>50</sup>, Anusri Pampari<sup>34</sup>, Chong Y. Park<sup>50</sup>, Marlene Rabinovitch<sup>89</sup>, Markus Ramste<sup>50</sup>, Judhajeet Ray<sup>3</sup>, Kevin R. Roy<sup>1,96</sup>, Oriane M. Rubio<sup>2</sup>, Julia M. Schaepe<sup>84</sup>, Gavin Schnitzler<sup>50</sup>, Jacob Schreiber<sup>1</sup>, Disha Sharma<sup>50</sup>, Maya U. Sheth<sup>1,2,84</sup>, Huitong Shi<sup>50</sup>, Vasundhara Singh<sup>3</sup>, Riya Sinha<sup>97</sup>, Lars M. Steinmetz<sup>1,93,96</sup>, Jason Tan<sup>12,34</sup>, Anthony Tan<sup>12</sup>, Josh Tycko<sup>1</sup>, Raeline C. Valbuena<sup>1</sup>, Valeh Valiollah Pour Amiri<sup>1</sup>, Mariëlle J. F. M. van Kooten<sup>1</sup>, Alun Vaughan-Jackson<sup>1</sup>, Anthony Venida<sup>1</sup>, Chad S. Weldy<sup>50</sup>, Matthew D. Worssam<sup>50</sup>, Fan Xia<sup>1,2</sup>, David Yao<sup>1</sup>, Tony Zeng<sup>1,2</sup>, Quanyi Zhao<sup>50</sup> & Ronghao Zhou<sup>1,2</sup>

#### **UM1HG011989**

Marc Vidal<sup>51,52</sup>, Michael A. Calderwood<sup>51,52,53</sup>, Anne E. Carpenter<sup>65</sup>, Zitong Sam Chen<sup>65</sup>, Beth A. Cimini<sup>65</sup>, Georges Coppin<sup>51,52,53,98</sup>, Atina G. Coté<sup>99,100,101</sup>, Marzieh Haghighi<sup>65</sup>, Tong Hao<sup>51,52,53</sup>, David E. Hill<sup>51,52,53</sup>, Jessica Lacoste<sup>99,100</sup>, Florent Laval<sup>51,52,53,98,102</sup>, Chloe Reno<sup>99,100</sup>, Frederick P. Roth<sup>99,100,101,103</sup>, Shantanu Singh<sup>65</sup>, Kerstin Spirohn-Fitzgerald<sup>51,52</sup>, Mikko Taipale<sup>99,100</sup>, Tanisha Teelucksingh<sup>99</sup>, Maxime Tixhon<sup>51,52,53,104</sup>, Anupama Yadav<sup>51,52,53</sup> & Zhipeng Yang<sup>51,52,53</sup>

#### **UM1HG011996**

Gary C. Hon<sup>910,11</sup>, W. Lee Kraus<sup>9.10</sup>, Nikhil V. Munshi<sup>20,21</sup>, Daniel A. Armendariz<sup>9</sup>,<br>Maria H. Chahrour<sup>22,23,24,25.26</sup>, Ashley E. Dederich<sup>105</sup>, Ashlesha Gogate<sup>22</sup>, Lauretta El Hayek<sup>22</sup>, Sean C. Goetsch<sup>20</sup>, Kiran Kaur<sup>22</sup>, Hyung Bum Kim<sup>9</sup>, Melissa K. McCoy<sup>105</sup>, Mpathi Z. Nzima<sup>9</sup> Sean C. Goetsch<sup>20</sup>, Kiran Kaur<sup>22</sup>, Hyung Bum Kim<sup>9</sup>, Melissa K. McCoy<sup>105</sup>, Mpathi Z. Nzima<sup>9</sup>,<br>Carlos A. Pinzón-Arteaga<sup>106</sup>, Bruce A. Posner<sup>105</sup>, Daniel A. Schmitz<sup>21</sup>, Sushama Sivakumar<sup>20,21</sup>, Anjana Sundarrajan<sup>9</sup>, Lei Wang<sup>9</sup>, Yihan Wang<sup>9</sup>, Jun Wu<sup>21</sup>, Lin Xu<sup>106,107</sup>, Jian Xu<sup>108</sup>, Leqian Yu<sup>21</sup>, Yanfeng Zhang<sup>106</sup>, Huan Zhao<sup>9</sup> & Qinbo Zhou<sup>106</sup>

#### **UM1HG012003**

Hyejung Won<sup>18,109</sup>, Michael I. Love<sup>18,19</sup>, Karen L. Mohlke<sup>18</sup>, Jessica L. Bell<sup>18,109</sup>, K. Alaine Broadaway<sup>18</sup>, Katherine N. Degner<sup>18,109</sup>, Amy S. Etheridge<sup>18</sup>, Stefanija Giric<sup>18</sup>, Beverly H. Koller<sup>18</sup>, Yun Li<sup>18,19</sup>, Won Mah<sup>18,109</sup>, Wancen Mu<sup>19</sup>, Kimberly D. Ritola<sup>109,110</sup>, Jonathan D. Rosen<sup>18</sup>, Sarah A. Schoenrock<sup>18,109</sup> & Rachel A. Sharp<sup>18,1</sup>

#### **UM1HG012010**

Luca Pinello<sup>4,32</sup>, Daniel Bauer<sup>55,111</sup>, Guillaume Lettre<sup>112,113</sup>, Richard Sherwood<sup>64</sup>, Basheer Becerra<sup>55,111</sup>, Logan J. Blaine<sup>32,54</sup>, Eric Che<sup>32,55,114</sup>, Lucas Ferreira<sup>54,55</sup>, Matthew J. Francoeur<sup>64</sup>, Ellie N. Gibbs<sup>64</sup>, Nahye Kim<sup>32,114,115</sup>, Emily M. King<sup>32,114,115,116</sup>,

Benjamin P. Kleinstiver<sup>32,114,115</sup>, Estelle Lecluze<sup>112</sup>, Zhijian Li<sup>32,117</sup>, Zain M. Patel<sup>32,117</sup>, Quang Vinh Phan<sup>64</sup>, Jayoung Ryu<sup>32,54</sup>, Marlena L. Starr<sup>55</sup> & Ting Wu<sup>55,1</sup>

#### **UM1HG012053**

Charles A. Gersbach<sup>69,118</sup>, Gregory E. Crawford<sup>69,119</sup>, Timothy E. Reddy<sup>15</sup>, Andrew S. Allen<sup>15</sup>, William H. Majoros<sup>15</sup>, Nahid Iglesias<sup>69,118</sup>, Alejandro Barrera<sup>15,69</sup>, Ruhi Rai<sup>69</sup>, Revathy Venukuttan<sup>69</sup>. Boxun Li<sup>69,118</sup>, Taylor Anglen<sup>69,120</sup>, Lexi R. Bounds<sup>69,118</sup>, Marisa C. Hamilton<sup>69</sup>, Siyan Liu<sup>69</sup>, Sean R. McCutcheon<sup>69,118</sup>, Christian D. McRoberts Amador<sup>69,121</sup>, Samuel J. Reisman<sup>69,120</sup>, Maria A. ter Weele<sup>69,118</sup>, Josephine C. Bodle<sup>69,118</sup>, Helen L. Streff<sup>69,118</sup>, Keith Siklenka<sup>15</sup> & Kari Strouse<sup>15</sup>

<sup>76</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>77</sup>Systems Immunology, Benaroya Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA.<sup>78</sup>Allen Discovery Center for Cell Lineage Tracing, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>79</sup>mRNA Center of Excellence, Sanofi Pasteur Inc, Waltham, MA, USA. <sup>80</sup>Center of immunotherapy and Immunity, Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>81</sup>Bioinformatics Division, WEHI, Parkville, Victoria, Australia, <sup>82</sup>Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. 83Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>84</sup>Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University School of Engineering, Stanford, CA, USA. 85Institute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>86</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>87</sup>Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. 88Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. 89Division of Pediatric Cardiology and Cardiovascular Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine,<br>Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>90</sup>Altos Labs, Redwood City, CA, USA. <sup>91</sup>Cancer Biology Program, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>92</sup>Immunology Graduate Program and Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>93</sup>European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), Genome Biology Unit, Heidelberg, Germany. <sup>94</sup>Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>95</sup>Department of Plant Biology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>96</sup>Stanford Genome Technology Center, Palo Alto, CA, USA.<sup>97</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>98</sup>Laboratory of Viral Interactomes, GIGA Institute, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium. <sup>99</sup>Donnelly Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research (CCBR), University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. <sup>100</sup>Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. 101Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute (LTRI), Sinai Health System, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. 102TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, University of Liège, Gembloux, Belgium. 103Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. <sup>104</sup>Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium. <sup>105</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>106</sup>Quantitative Biomedical Research Center, Peter O'Donnell Jr School of Public Health, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>107</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Hematology/ Oncology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>108</sup>Children's Medical Center Research Institute, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. <sup>109</sup>Neuroscience Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. <sup>110</sup>Department of Pharmacology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. <sup>111</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>112</sup>Montreal Heart Institute, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. 113 Département de Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Quebec, Canada. 114Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>115</sup>Center for Genomic Medicine and Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>116</sup>PhD Program in Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>117</sup>Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>118</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>119</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>120</sup>Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>121</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Cancer Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA.

#### **Mapping Awards (contact PI, MPIs (alphabetical by last name), other members (alphabetical by last name))**

#### **UM1HG011986**

Jason D. Buenrostro<sup>4,66</sup>, Bradley E. Bernstein<sup>4,53</sup>, Juliana Babu<sup>4,66</sup>, Guillermo Barreto Corona<sup>4</sup>, Kevin Dong<sup>4</sup>, Fabiana M. Duarte<sup>4,66</sup>, Neva C. Durand<sup>4</sup>, Charles B. Epstein<sup>4</sup>, Kaili Fan<sup>4,66,70</sup>, Nina P. Farrell<sup>4</sup>, Elizabeth Gaskell<sup>4</sup>, Amelia W. Hall<sup>4</sup>, Alexandra M. Ham<sup>4</sup>, Mei K. Knudson<sup>4</sup>, Eugenio Mattei<sup>4</sup>, Rachel E. Savage<sup>4,66</sup>, Noam Shoresh<sup>4</sup>, Siddarth Wekhande<sup>4</sup>,<br>Cassandra M. White<sup>4</sup> & Wang Xi<sup>4,66</sup>

#### **UM1HG012076**

Ansuman T. Satpathy<sup>122,123,124</sup>, M. Ryan Corces<sup>125,126,127</sup>, Serena H. Chang<sup>125,126,127</sup>, Iris M. Chin<sup>125,126,127</sup>, James M. Gardner<sup>128,129</sup>, Zachary A. Gardell<sup>125,126,127</sup>, Jacob C. Gutierrez<sup>122,124</sup>, Alia W. Johnson<sup>125,126,127</sup>, Lucas Kampman<sup>125,126,127</sup>, Maya Kasowski<sup>122,130</sup>, Caleb A. Lareau<sup>122,123,124</sup>, Vincent Liu<sup>122,124</sup>, Leif S. Ludwig<sup>131,132</sup>, Christopher S. McGinnis<sup>122,123,124</sup>, Shreya Menon<sup>125,126,127</sup>, Anita Qualls<sup>128,129</sup>, Katalin Sandor<sup>122,123,124</sup>, Adam W. Turner<sup>125,126,127</sup>, Chun J. Ye<sup>123,133,134</sup>, Yajie Yin<sup>122,124</sup> & Wenxi Zhang<sup>122</sup>

#### **UM1HG012077**

Ali Mortazavi<sup>29,30</sup>, Barbara J. Wold<sup>47,135</sup>, Sina Booeshaghi<sup>47</sup>, Maria Carilli<sup>136</sup>, Dayeon Cheong<sup>29</sup>, Ghassan Filibam<sup>29</sup>, Kim Green<sup>29,137</sup>, Ingileif Hallgrimsdottir<sup>47</sup>, Shimako Kawauchi<sup>30</sup>, Charlene Kim<sup>47</sup>, Heidi Liang<sup>30</sup>, Rebekah Loving<sup>47</sup>, Laura Luebbert<sup>47</sup>, Grant MacGregor<sup>29</sup> Angel G. Merchan<sup>47</sup>, Lior Pachter<sup>47,75</sup>, Elisabeth Rebboah<sup>29</sup>, Fairlie Reese<sup>29,30</sup>, Narges Rezaie<sup>29,30</sup>, Jasmine Sakr<sup>30,138</sup>, Delaney K. Sullivan<sup>47</sup>, Nikki Swarna<sup>136</sup>, Diane Trout<sup>47</sup>, Sean Upchurch<sup>47</sup>, Ryan Weber<sup>29</sup> & Brian A. Williams<sup>47</sup>

122 Department of Pathology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>123</sup> Parker Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy, San Francisco, CA, USA.<sup>124</sup>Gladstone-UCSF Institute of Genomic Immunology, San Francisco, CA, USA. 125Gladstone Institute of Neurological Disease, San Francisco, CA, USA.<sup>126</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. 127Gladstone Institute of Data Science and Biotechnology, Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>128</sup>Department of Surgery, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. 129Diabetes Center, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. 130 Sean N Parker Center for Allergy and Asthma Research, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.<sup>131</sup>Berlin Institute of Health at Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. <sup>132</sup>Max-Delbrück-Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association (MDC), Berlin Institute for Medical Systems Biology (BIMSB), Berlin, Germany. <sup>133</sup>Institute for Human Genetics, Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, University<br>of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>134</sup>Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>135</sup>Richard N. Merkin Institute for Translational Research, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA. <sup>136</sup>Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA. 137Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA. 138 Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA.

#### **Predictive Modeling Awards (contact PI, MPIs (alphabetical by last name), other members (alphabetical by last name))**

#### **U01HG011952**

Alan P. Boyle<sup>27,28</sup>, Christopher P. Castro<sup>27</sup>, Elysia Chou<sup>27</sup>, Fan Feng<sup>27</sup>, Andre Guerra<sup>139</sup>, Yuanhao Huang<sup>27</sup>, Linghua Jiang<sup>27</sup>, Jie Liu<sup>27</sup>, Ryan E. Mills<sup>27,28</sup>, Weizhou Qian<sup>27</sup>, Tingting Qin<sup>27</sup>, Maureen A. Sartor<sup>27,139</sup>, Rintsen N. Sherpa<sup>27</sup>, Jinhao Wang<sup>27</sup>, Yiqun Wang<sup>27</sup>, Joshua D. Welch<sup>27</sup>, Zhenhao Zhang<sup>27</sup> & Nanxiang Zhao<sup>27</sup>

#### **U01HG011967**

Andrew S. Allen<sup>15</sup>, William H. Majoros<sup>15</sup>, Sayan Mukherjee<sup>140,141,142</sup>, C. David Page<sup>15</sup>, Shannon Clarke<sup>15</sup>, Richard W. Doty<sup>15</sup>, Yuncheng Duan<sup>143</sup>, Raluca Gordan<sup>15,142</sup>, Kuei-Yueh Ko<sup>15</sup>, Shengyu Li<sup>15</sup>, Boyao Li<sup>15</sup>, Timothy E. Reddy<sup>15</sup> & Alexander Thomson<sup>15</sup>

#### **U01HG012009**

Soumya Raychaudhuri<sup>64,74</sup>, Alkes Price<sup>16,39,74</sup>, Shamil Sunyaev<sup>54,64</sup>, Thahmina A. Ali<sup>38</sup>, Kushal K. Dey<sup>38</sup>, Arun Durvasula<sup>39,144</sup>, Manolis Kellis<sup>117,145</sup>, Evan Koch<sup>54</sup> & Saori Sakaue<sup>64,74</sup>

#### **U01HG012022**

Predrag Radivojac<sup>43</sup>, Lilia M. Iakoucheva<sup>146</sup>, Tulika Kakati<sup>146</sup>, Sean D. Mooney<sup>56</sup>, Yile Chen<sup>56</sup>, Mariam Benazouz<sup>56</sup>, Vikas Pejaver<sup>57,58</sup>, Shantanu Jain<sup>43</sup>, Daniel Zeiberg<sup>43</sup>, M. Clara De Paolis Kaluza<sup>43</sup> & Michelle Velyunskiy<sup>43</sup>

#### **U01HG012039**

Mark Craven<sup>31</sup>, Audrey Gasch<sup>147</sup>, Kunling Huang<sup>148</sup>, Yiyang Jin<sup>31</sup>, Qiongshi Lu<sup>31</sup>, Jiacheng Miao<sup>31</sup>, Michael Ohtake<sup>149</sup>, Eduardo Scopel<sup>147</sup>, Robert D. Steiner<sup>150,151,152</sup> & Yuriy Sverchkov<sup>31</sup>

#### **U01HG012064**

Zhiping Weng<sup>70</sup>, Manuel Garber<sup>70</sup>, Xihong Lin<sup>16,17</sup>, Yu Fu<sup>70</sup>, Natalie Haas<sup>70</sup>, Xihao Li<sup>16,18,19</sup>, Nishigandha Phalke<sup>70</sup>, Shuo C. Shan<sup>70</sup>, Nicole Shedd<sup>70</sup>, Eric Van Buren<sup>16</sup>, Tianxiong Yu<sup>70</sup>, Yi Zhang<sup>153</sup> & Hufeng Zhou<sup>16</sup>

#### **U01HG012069**

Anshul Kundaje<sup>1,34</sup>, Alexis Battle<sup>154,155,156,157</sup>, Ziwei Chen<sup>34</sup>, Salil Deshpande<sup>85</sup>, Jesse M. Engreitz<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Livnat Jerby<sup>1</sup>, Eran Kotler<sup>1</sup>, Soumya Kundu<sup>1,34</sup>, Andrew R. Marderstein<sup>122</sup>, Georgi K. Marinov<sup>1</sup>,<br>Stephen B. Montgomery<sup>1,122,158</sup>, Surag Nair<sup>34</sup>, AkshatKumar Nigam<sup>1,34</sup>, Evin M. Padhi<sup>122</sup>, Anusri Pampari<sup>34</sup>, Aman Patel<sup>34</sup>, Jonathan Pritchard<sup>1</sup>, Ivy Raine<sup>1</sup>, Vivekanandan Ramalingam<sup>1</sup>, Kameron B. Rodrigues<sup>122</sup>, Jacob M. Schreiber<sup>1</sup>, Arpita Singhal<sup>34</sup>, Riya Sinha<sup>97</sup>, Valeh Valiollah Pour Amiri<sup>1</sup> & Austin T. Wang<sup>34</sup>

139 Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. <sup>140</sup>Department of Statistical Science, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>141</sup>Department of Mathematics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. 142Department of Computer Science, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. 143Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.<sup>144</sup>Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.<sup>145</sup>MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>146</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego,<br>La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>147</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA. 148 Department of Statistics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA. <sup>149</sup> Department of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA. <sup>150</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA. <sup>151</sup>PreventionGenetics Inc., Part of Exact Sciences, Marshfield, WI, USA. <sup>152</sup>Marshfield Clinic Health System, Marshfield, WI, USA. <sup>153</sup>Department of Data Science, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA. 154Department of Biomedical Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA. 155Malone Center for Engineering in Healthcare, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA. 156Department of Computer Science, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA. <sup>157</sup>Department of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA. 158Department of Biomedical Data Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.

#### **Network Projects (contact PI, MPIs (alphabetical by last name), other members (alphabetical by last name))**

#### **U01HG012041**

Harinder Singh<sup>6</sup>, Jishnu Das<sup>6</sup>, Nidhi Sahni<sup>13,14</sup>, Marisa Abundis<sup>6</sup>, Deepa Bisht<sup>13</sup>, Trirupa Chakraborty<sup>6</sup>, Jingyu Fan<sup>6</sup>, David R. Hall<sup>6</sup>, Zarifeh H. Rarani<sup>6</sup>, Abhinav K. Jain<sup>13</sup>,

Babita Kaundal<sup>13</sup>, Swapnil Keshari<sup>6</sup>, Daniel McGrail<sup>159</sup>, Nicholas A. Pease<sup>6</sup>, Vivian F. Yi<sup>6</sup> & S. Stephen Yi<sup>71,7</sup>

#### **U01HG012047**

Hao Wu<sup>160</sup>, Sreeram Kannan<sup>161</sup>, Hongjun Song<sup>162</sup>, Jingli Cai<sup>163</sup>, Ziyue Gao<sup>160</sup>, Ronni Kurzion<sup>162</sup>, Julia I. Leu<sup>160</sup>, Fan Li<sup>160</sup>, Dongming Liang<sup>160</sup>, Guo-li Ming<sup>162</sup>, Kiran Musunuru<sup>163</sup>, Qi Qiu<sup>160</sup>, Junwei Shi<sup>164</sup>, Yijing Su<sup>162</sup>, Sarah Tishkoff<sup>160</sup>, Ning Xie<sup>160</sup>, Qian Yang<sup>162</sup>, Wenli Yang<sup>163</sup>, Hongjie Zhang<sup>160</sup> & Zhijian Zhang<sup>162</sup>

#### **U01HG012051**

Danwei Huangfu<sup>48,49</sup>, Michael A. Beer<sup>165</sup>, Anna-Katerina Hadjantonakis<sup>48,49</sup>, Sharon Adeniyi<sup>48,49</sup>, Hyein Cho<sup>48,49</sup>, Ronald Cutler<sup>166</sup>, Rachel A. Glenn<sup>48,49,167</sup>, David Godovich<sup>48,49</sup>, Nan Hu<sup>48,4</sup> Svetlana Jovanic<sup>48,49</sup>, Renhe Luo<sup>48,49</sup>, Jin Woo Oh<sup>165</sup>, Milad Razavi-Mohseni<sup>165</sup>, Dustin Shigaki<sup>165</sup>, Simone Sidoli<sup>166</sup>, Thomas Vierbuchen<sup>48,49</sup>, Xianming Wang<sup>48,49</sup>, Breanna Williams<sup>48,49</sup> Jielin Yan<sup>48,49</sup>, Dapeng Yang<sup>48,49</sup> & Yunxiao Yang<sup>165</sup>

#### **U01HG012059**

Maike Sander<sup>73</sup>, Hannah Carter<sup>12</sup>, Kyle J. Gaulton<sup>73</sup>, Bing Ren<sup>168,169</sup>, Weronika Bartosik<sup>168</sup>, Hannah S. Indralingam<sup>168</sup>, Adam Klie<sup>170</sup>, Hannah Mummey<sup>170</sup>, Mei-Lin Okino<sup>171</sup>, Gaowei Wang<sup>73</sup>, Nathan R. Zemke<sup>168</sup>, Kai Zhang<sup>168</sup> & Han Zhu<sup>73</sup>

#### **U01HG012079**

Chongyuan Luo<sup>67</sup>, Kathrin Plath<sup>68</sup>, Noah Zaitlen<sup>172</sup>, Brunilda Balliu<sup>44,45,46</sup>, Jason Ernst<sup>45,68</sup>, Justin Langerman<sup>68</sup>, Terence Li<sup>67</sup> & Yu Sun<sup>68</sup>

#### **U01HG012103**

Christina S. Leslie<sup>38</sup>, Alexander Y. Rudensky<sup>173,174</sup>, Preethi K. Periyakoil<sup>38</sup>, Vianne R. Gao<sup>38</sup>, Melanie H. Smith<sup>175</sup>, Norman M. Thomas<sup>38</sup>, Laura T. Donlin<sup>175,176</sup>, Amit Lakhanpal<sup>175</sup>, Kaden M. Southard<sup>38</sup> & Rico C. Ardy<sup>38</sup>

159 Center for Immunotherapy and Precision Immuno-Oncology, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA. <sup>160</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.<br><sup>161</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>162</sup>Department of Neuroscience, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.<br><sup>163</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. <sup>164</sup>Department of Cancer Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. <sup>165</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering and McKusick-Nathans Department of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.<sup>166</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA. 167Weill Cornell Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA. <sup>168</sup>Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. 169Center for Epigenomics, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. <sup>170</sup>Bioinformatics and Systems Biology Program, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. <sup>171</sup>Biomedical Sciences Program, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. <sup>172</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 173Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Immunology Program at Sloan Kettering Institute, New York, NY, USA. 174Ludwig Center for Cancer Immunotherapy, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA. 175Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, USA. 176Weill Cornell Medical College and Graduate School, New York, NY, USA.

#### **Data and Administrative Coordinating Center Awards (contact PI, MPIs (alphabetical by last name), other members (alphabetical by last name))**

#### **U24HG012012**

J. Michael Cherry<sup>1</sup>, Mark B. Gerstein<sup>177,178,179,180,181</sup>, Kalina Andreeva<sup>1</sup>, Pedro R. Assis<sup>1</sup>, Beatrice Borsari<sup>177,178</sup>, Eric Douglass<sup>1</sup>, Shengcheng Dong<sup>1</sup>, Idan Gabdank<sup>1</sup>, Keenan Graham<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin C. Hitz<sup>i</sup>, Otto Jolanki<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Jou<sup>1</sup>, Meenakshi S. Kagda<sup>1</sup>, Jin-Wook Lee<sup>1</sup>, Mingjie Li<sup>1</sup>,<br>Khine Lin<sup>1</sup>, Stuart R. Miyasato<sup>1</sup>, Joel Rozowsky<sup>177,178</sup>, Corinn Small<sup>1</sup>, Emma Spragins<sup>1</sup>, Forrest Y. Tanaka<sup>1</sup>, Ian M. Whaling<sup>1</sup>, Ingrid A. Youngworth<sup>1</sup> & Cricket A. Sloan<sup>1</sup>

#### **U24HG012070**

Ting Wang<sup>5,33</sup>, Feng Yue<sup>35,36</sup>, Eddie Belter<sup>33</sup>, Xintong Chen<sup>35</sup>, Rex L. Chisholm<sup>182</sup>, Sarah Cody<sup>33</sup>, Patricia Dickson<sup>183</sup>, Changxu Fan<sup>5</sup>, Lucinda Fulton<sup>33</sup>, Heather A. Lawson<sup>5</sup>, Daofeng Li<sup>5</sup>, Tina Lindsay<sup>33</sup>, Yu Luan<sup>35</sup>, Yuan Luo<sup>184</sup>, Huijue Lyu<sup>35</sup>, Xiaowen Ma<sup>5</sup>, Jian Ma<sup>42</sup>, Juan Macias-Velasco<sup>5</sup>, Karen H. Miga<sup>185</sup>, Kara Quaid<sup>5</sup>, Nathan Stitziel<sup>186</sup>, Barbara E. Stranger<sup>187</sup>, Chad Tomlinson<sup>33</sup>, Juan Wang<sup>35</sup>, Wenjin Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Bo Zhang<sup>188</sup>, Guoyan Zhao<sup>5,189,190</sup> & Xiaoyu Zhuo<sup>5</sup>

177 Program in Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. 178 Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. 179 Department of Computer Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. <sup>180</sup> Department of Statistics and Data Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. <sup>181</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics and Data Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. <sup>182</sup>Center for Genetic Medicine and Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA. 183Department of Pediatrics, Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA. 184Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA. 185UC Santa Cruz Genomics Institute, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA. <sup>186</sup>Department of Medicine, Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA. 187Center for Genetic Medicine, Department of Pharmacology, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA.<sup>188</sup>Department of Developmental Biology, Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA.<sup>189</sup>Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA. <sup>190</sup>Department of Neurology, Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA.

#### **IGVF Affiliate Member Projects (contact PIs, other members (alphabetical by last name))**

**Brennand lab** Kristen Brennand<sup>191</sup>

#### **Ciccia lab**

Alberto Ciccia192, Samuel B. Hayward192, Jen-Wei Huang192, Giuseppe Leuzzi192, Angelo Taglialatela<sup>192</sup>, Tanay Thakar<sup>192</sup> & Alina Vaitsiankova<sup>192</sup>

**Dey lab** Kushal K. Dey<sup>38</sup> & Thahmina A. Ali<sup>38</sup>

**Gazal lab** Steven Gazal<sup>60,61,62</sup> & Artem Kim<sup>60</sup>

**Grimes lab** H. Leighton Grimes<sup>193</sup> & Nathan Salomonis<sup>193</sup>

**Gupta lab** Rajat Gupta<sup>50</sup>, Shi Fang<sup>50</sup> & Vivian Lee-Kim<sup>50</sup>

**Heinig lab** Matthias Heinig<sup>194,195,196</sup> & Corinna Losert<sup>194,195</sup>

**Jones lab** Thouis R. Jones<sup>3</sup>, Elisa Donnard<sup>3</sup>, Maddie Murphy<sup>3</sup>, Elizabeth Roberts<sup>3</sup> & Susie Song<sup>3</sup>

**Moore lab** Jill E. Moore<sup>70</sup>

**Mostafavi lab** Sara Mostafavi<sup>197,198</sup>, Alexander Sasse<sup>197</sup> & Anna Spiro<sup>197</sup>

#### **Pennacchio and Visel lab**

Len A. Pennacchio<sup>199,200</sup>, Momoe Kato<sup>199</sup>, Michael Kosicki<sup>199</sup>, Brandon Mannion<sup>199</sup>, Neil Slaven<sup>199</sup> & Axel Visel<sup>199,2</sup>

**Pollard lab**

Katherine S. Pollard<sup>134,201,202</sup>, Shiron Drusinsky<sup>201,202</sup> & Sean Whalen<sup>201</sup>

#### **Ray lab**

John Ray<sup>7,77,203</sup>, Ingrid A. Harten<sup>77</sup> & Ching-Huang Ho<sup>77</sup>

**Reilly lab** Steven K. Reilly<sup>63</sup>

#### **Sanjana lab**

Neville E. Sanjana<sup>204,205</sup>, Christina Caragine<sup>204,205</sup> & John A. Morris<sup>204,205</sup>

## **Seruggia lab**

Davide Seruggia<sup>206,207</sup>, Ana Patricia Kutschat<sup>206,207</sup> & Sandra Wittibschlager<sup>206,207</sup>

**Xu lab** Han Xu<sup>13</sup>, Rongjie Fu<sup>13</sup>, Wei He<sup>13</sup> & Liang Zhang<sup>13</sup>

**Yi lab** S. Stephen Yi<sup>71,72</sup> & Daniel Osorio<sup>71,72</sup>

<sup>191</sup>Departments of Psychiatry and Genetics, Division of Molecular Psychiatry, Department of Genetics, Wu Tsai Institute, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA. 192 Department of Genetics and Development, Institute for Cancer Genetics, Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA.<br><sup>193</sup>Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH, USA. <sup>194</sup>Institute of Computational Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, Neuherberg, Germany.<sup>195</sup>Department of Computer Science, School of Computation, Information and Technology, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany.<sup>196</sup>Munich Heart Alliance, DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research), Munich, Germany. 197Paul G. Allen School of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>198</sup>Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.<sup>199</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA.<sup>200</sup>DOE Joint Genome Institute, Berkeley, CA, USA. <sup>201</sup>Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>202</sup>University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>203</sup>Department of Immunology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>204</sup>New York Genome Center, New York, NY, USA. <sup>205</sup>Department of Biology, New York University, New York, NY, USA. <sup>206</sup>St Anna Children's Cancer Research Institute (CCRI), Vienna, Austria. 207CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria.

**NHGRI Program Management (alphabetical by last name)** Zo Bly<sup>208</sup>, Stephanie Calluori<sup>37,209</sup>, Daniel A. Gilchrist<sup>37</sup>, Carolyn M. Hutter<sup>37</sup>, Stephanie A. Morris<sup>37</sup>, Michael J. Pazin<sup>37</sup> & Ella K. Samer<sup>37,21</sup>

208Division of Genomic Medicine, National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, MD, USA. <sup>209</sup>Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York, NY, USA. 210Masters of Physician Assistant Studies Program, Colorado Mesa University, Grand Junction, CO, USA.